



# **Regulatory Capital Pillar 3 Disclosures**

## **Standardized Approach**

**June 30, 2020**

# Table of Contents

Background.....	3
Overview .....	3
Corporate Governance.....	3
Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP).....	4
Regulatory Capital Adequacy Ratios.....	4
Table 1 Regulatory Capital Ratios .....	5
Capital Conservation Buffer .....	5
Table 2 Non-GAAP Capital Ratios.....	6
Table 3 Supplementary Leverage Ratio .....	6
Standardized Approache Risk-Weighted Assets .....	7
Credit Risk Mitigation .....	7
Counterparty Credit Risk of OTC Derivative Contracts, Repo-Style Transactions and Eligible Margin Loans .....	8
Securitization .....	9
Equity Securities Not Subject to Market Risk Rule .....	10
Market Risk .....	11
Credit Risk: General Disclosures.....	11
Appendix A – Disclosure Matrix.....	A1

## **BACKGROUND**

Basel III regulatory capital rules for large and internationally active banks established the definition of regulatory capital elements and minimum capital ratios, regulatory capital buffers above those minimums, a common equity tier 1 ratio, a supplementary leverage ratio and the rules for calculating risk-weighted assets. Basel III includes two comprehensive methodologies for calculating risk-weighted assets: a general standardized approach and more risk-sensitive advanced approaches. With the passing of the “Prudential Standards for Large Bank Holding Companies, Savings and Loan Holding Companies, and Foreign Banking Organizations” rule, effective December 31, 2019, the Company is classified as a Category III banking organization. Therefore, the regulatory capital requirements effective for U.S. Bancorp (the “Company”) follow the general standardized approach.

## **OVERVIEW**

U.S. Bancorp (the “Company”) based in Minneapolis, is one of the largest commercial banks in the United States and provides a comprehensive line of banking, investment, mortgage, trust and payment services products to consumers, businesses, and institutions.

This document, and certain of the Company’s public filings, present the Pillar 3 Disclosures in compliance with Basel III as described in Subsections 61-63 of the: Capital Adequacy—Basel III Final Rule (the “Rule”). The Company’s 2019 Annual Report on Form 10-K (“Annual Report”) and its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2020 (“Form 10-Q”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission contains management’s discussion of the overall corporate risk profile of the Company and related management strategies. These Pillar 3 Disclosures should be read in conjunction with the Annual Report and Form 10-Q, the Consolidated Financial Statements for Bank Holding Companies - FY-9C. The Company’s Pillar 3 Disclosures Matrix (see Appendix A) specifies where all disclosures required by the Rule are located. The Pillar 3 Disclosures have not been audited by the Company’s external auditors.

The Rule applies only to the consolidated Company, with the exception that every depository subsidiary must disclose capital ratios.

## **CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

Managing risks is an essential part of successfully operating a financial services company. The Company’s Board of Directors has approved a risk management framework which establishes governance and risk management requirements for all risk-taking activities. This framework includes Company and business line risk appetite statements which set boundaries for the types and amount of risk that may be undertaken in pursuing business objectives and initiatives. The Board of Directors, through its Risk Management and Capital Planning Committees, oversees performance relative to the risk management framework, risk appetite statements, and other policy requirements.

The Executive Risk Committee (“ERC”), which is chaired by the Chief Risk Officer and includes the Chief Executive Officer and other members of the executive management team, oversees execution against the risk management framework and risk appetite statements. The ERC focuses on current and emerging risks, including strategic and reputational risks, by directing timely and comprehensive actions. Senior operating committees have also been established, each responsible for overseeing a specified category of risk.

The Company’s most prominent risk exposures are credit, interest rate, market, liquidity, operational, compliance, strategic, and reputational risk. Credit risk is the risk of not collecting the interest and/or the principal balance of a loan, investment or derivative contract when it is due. Interest rate risk is the potential reduction of net interest income or market valuations as a result of changes in interest rates. Market risk arises from fluctuations in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and security prices that may result in changes in the values of financial instruments, such as trading and available-for-sale securities, mortgage loans held for sale, mortgage servicing rights (“MSRs”) and derivatives that are accounted for on a fair value basis. Liquidity risk is the possible inability to fund obligations or new business at a reasonable cost and in a timely manner. Operational risk is the risk to current or projected financial condition arising from inadequate or failed internal processes or systems, people, or adverse external events, including the risk of loss resulting from breaches in data security. Operational risk can also include the risk of loss due to failures by third parties with which the Company does business. Compliance risk is the risk that the Company may suffer legal or regulatory sanctions, financial losses and reputational damage if the Company fails to

adhere to compliance requirements. Strategic risk is the risk to current or projected financial condition and resilience arising from adverse business decisions, poor implementation of business decisions, or lack of responsiveness to changes in the banking industry and operating environment. Reputation risk is the risk to current or anticipated earnings, capital, or franchise or enterprise value arising from negative public opinion. This risk may impair the Company's competitiveness by affecting its ability to establish new relationships or services or continue servicing existing relationships. In addition to the risks identified above, other risk factors exist that may impact the Company. Refer to "Risk Factors" in the Annual Report and form 10-Q for a detailed discussion of these factors.

The Company's Board of Directors and management-level governance committees are supported by a "three lines of defense" model for establishing effective checks and balances. The first line of defense, the business lines, manages risks in conformity with established limits and policy requirements. In turn, business leaders and their risk officers establish programs to ensure conformity with these limits and policy requirements. The second line of defense, which is primarily aligned to the Chief Risk Officer's organization but also includes policy and oversight activities of corporate support functions, translates risk appetite and strategy into actionable risk limits and policies. The second line of defense monitors first line of defense conformity with limits and policies and provides reporting and escalation of emerging risks and other concerns to senior management and the Risk Management Committee of the Board of Directors. The third line of defense, internal audit, is responsible for providing the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors and senior management with independent assessment and assurance regarding the effectiveness of the Company's governance, risk management, and control processes.

#### **INTERNAL CAPITAL ADEQUACY ASSESSMENT PROCESS (ICAAP)**

The Company's ICAAP is a component of its Basel Program. The Company manages its capital to multiple minimum thresholds and measures that are consistent with the Company's strategic objectives, business model and capital plan. Expectations of internal and external stakeholders are integral, and the capital goals and targets are calibrated considering internally developed models that ensure adequate coverage for all material quantitative and qualitative risks, minimum regulatory requirements, supervisory stress testing expectations and rating agency and counterparty perspectives.

The Company is committed to managing capital to maintain strong protection for depositors and creditors, and for maximum shareholder benefit in order to achieve the Company's broader goals, which are as follows:

- Ensure the Company's safety and soundness;
- Maintain access to the debt and capital markets so the Company may continue to provide exceptional service to its customers and fulfill, without interruption, its obligations as a credit intermediary;
- Serve as a source of managerial and financial strength to its subsidiaries; and
- Ensure that the Company continues to be in a position to conduct its business in an environment of economic or financial stress.

The Company's ICAAP, the identification of material risks and how those material risks inform capital adequacy, is conducted via the Company's stress testing program. Through this process the Company's material risks, informed by the risk identification process, are critical to the scenario design process and the development of the Company's internal stress scenario. The results of these forward-looking scenarios inform the Company's regulatory and internally defined capital adequacy relative to the Company's risk profile and risk appetite.

#### **REGULATORY CAPITAL ADEQUACY RATIOS**

The Company also manages its capital to exceed regulatory capital requirements for well-capitalized financial institutions. The Company's applicable capital requirement for regulatory and supervisory purposes is based upon the ratios determined under the standardized approach.

Banking regulators define capital requirements for banks and financial services holding companies expressed in the form of a common equity tier 1 capital ratio, a tier 1 capital ratio, a total risk-based capital ratio, a leverage ratio and for banking organizations with total assets greater than \$250 billion, a supplementary leverage ratio. The current minimum required levels, including the 2.5 percent capital buffer, for these ratios are 7.0 percent, 8.5 percent, 10.5 percent, 4.0 percent and 3.0 percent, respectively, while the requirements for an insured depository institution to be considered "well-capitalized" are 6.5 percent, 8.0 percent, 10.0 percent, 5.0 percent and 3.0 percent, respectively.

Using the standardized approach rule the common equity tier 1 ratio was 9.0 percent at June 30, 2020 — above the Company’s targeted ratio of 8.5 percent.

A summary of the capital ratios under the standardized is shown in Table 1.

**Table 1 Regulatory Capital Ratios**

(Dollars in Millions, Unaudited)	U.S. Bancorp		U.S. Bank National Association	
	June 30, 2020	December 31, 2019	June 30, 2020	December 31, 2019
Common Equity Tier 1 capital	\$36,351	\$35,713	\$42,468	\$39,114
Tier 1 capital	42,781	41,721	42,915	39,138
Total risk-based capital	51,457	49,744	51,356	46,994
Common Equity Tier 1 capital as a percent of risk-weighted assets	9.0 %	9.1 %	10.8 %	10.2 %
Tier 1 capital as a percent of risk-weighted assets	10.6 %	10.7 %	10.9 %	10.2 %
Tier 1 risk-based capital as a percent of adjusted quarterly average assets (leverage ratio)	8.0 %	8.8 %	8.2 %	8.4 %
Tier 1 risk-based capital as a percent of total on and off balance sheet average exposures (supplementary leverage ratio)	7.1 %	7.0 %	6.7 %	6.7 %
Total risk-based capital as a percent of risk-weighted assets	12.8 %	12.7 %	13.1 %	12.3 %
Risk-Weighted Assets	\$401,832	\$391,269	\$393,472	\$383,560

The Company’s total shareholders’ equity was \$51.9 billion at June 30, 2020, compared with \$51.9 billion at December 31, 2019. While the overall equity remains unchanged, the following items of note had an impact on equity during the year, the adoption of the Current Expected Credit Loss (CECL) accounting standard, dividends paid and common share repurchases resulted in a decrease of equity which were off-set by income and an increase other comprehensive income. In compliance with the Rule, the Company reviewed the aggregate amount of surplus capital of insurance subsidiaries included in the regulatory capital of the consolidated group and has determined that it was not material. Refer to “Management’s Discussion and Analysis—Capital Management” in the Annual Report and form 10-Q for further discussion on capital management.

In addition to capital ratios defined by banking regulators, the Company considers various other measures when evaluating capital utilization and adequacy. These measures are viewed by management as useful additional methods of reflecting the level of capital available to withstand unexpected market or economic conditions. Additionally, presentation of these measures allows investors, analysts and banking regulators to assess the Company’s capital position relative to other financial services companies. These measures differ from the currently effective capital ratios defined by current banking regulations principally in that the numerator excludes trust preferred securities and preferred stock, the nature and extent of which varies among different financial services companies. These measures are not defined in generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) or are not currently effective or defined in federal banking regulations. As a result, these measures disclosed by the Company may be considered non-GAAP financial measures.

### Capital Conservation Buffer

Basel III established a capital conservation buffer applicable to U.S. banking. The calculation of the capital conservation buffer is based on a comparison of each of the following three risk-based capital ratios and the stated minimum required ratios for each, with the reportable capital conservation buffer being the smallest of the three differences:

- common equity tier 1 capital ratio minus the minimum common equity tier 1 capital ratio;
- tier 1 capital ratio minus the minimum tier 1 capital ratio; and
- total capital ratio minus the minimum total capital ratio.

The capital conservation buffer minimum ratio for 2020 is 2.50 percent. At June 30, 2020, the Company has a common equity tier one capital conservation buffer ratio of 4.5 percent which is above the required minimum and has eligible retained income of \$1.6 billion; therefore, the Company would not be subject to any limitations on distributions and discretionary bonus payments.

In addition, Basel III also introduced a potential countercyclical capital buffer of up to 2.5 percent. A countercyclical capital buffer would be invoked upon a determination by the U.S. banking agencies and would be in addition to the capital conservation buffer. Currently, the countercyclical capital buffer amount for banks domiciled in the United States is zero.

**Table 2 Non-GAAP Capital Ratios**

(Unaudited)	June 30, 2020	December 31, 2019
Tangible common equity to tangible assets. . . . .	6.7 %	7.5 %
Tangible common equity to risk-weighted assets. . . . .	9.0	9.3
Common equity tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, reflecting the full implementation of the current expected credit losses methodology . . . . .	8.7	

Refer to “Management’s Discussion and Analysis—Non-GAAP Financial Measures” in the Annual Report and form 10-Q for further discussion on the non-GAAP capital ratios.

**Table 3 Supplementary Leverage Ratio**

Advanced approaches banks are required to report the Supplementary Leverage Ratio (SLR) defined as tier 1 capital divided by the total leverage exposure which includes both on- and off-balance sheet exposures. The Company as a Category III banking organization is still required to meet a 3.0 percent SLR requirement. At June 30, 2020, the Company’s and subsidiary bank’s SLR exceeded the requirement with ratios of 7.1 percent and 6.7 percent, respectively. This is compared to 7.0 percent and 6.7 percent, respectively, at December 31, 2019.

(Dollars in millions, Unaudited)	US Bancorp June 30, 2020	US Bank NA June 30, 2020
<b>Summary Comparison of Accounting Assets and Total Leverage Exposure</b>		
Total Consolidated Assets as reported in published financial statements . . . . .	\$542,244	\$532,817
Adjustment for investments in banking, financial, insurance or commercial entities that are consolidated for accounting purposes but outside the scope of regulatory consideration . . . . .	-	-
Adjustments for fiduciary assets recognized on balance sheet but excluded from total leverage exposure. . . . .	-	-
Adjustment for derivative exposures. . . . .	17,299	17,189
Adjustment for repo-style transactions. . . . .	1,963	5
Adjustment for off-balance sheet exposures (credit equivalent) . . . . .	103,467	103,433
Other adjustments . . . . .	(62,362)	(8,904)
<b>Total Leverage Exposure</b> . . . . .	<b>602,611</b>	<b>644,540</b>
<b>Supplementary Leverage Ratio</b>		
<b>On-balance sheet exposures</b>		
On-balance sheet assets (excluding on-balance sheet assets for repo-style transactions and derivative exposures, but including cash collateral received in derivative transactions) . . . . .	541,360	533,568
Less Treasury a due from fed . . . . .	51,276	0
LESS: Deductions from common equity tier 1 capital and additional tier 1 capital (report as a positive value) . . . . .	10,202	9,655
<b>Total on-balance sheet exposures</b> . . . . .	<b>479,882</b>	<b>523,913</b>
<b>Derivative exposures</b>		
Replacement cost for derivative exposures (net of cash variation margin) . . . . .	4,467	4,425
Add-on amounts for potential future exposure (PFE) for derivatives exposures. . . . .	6,637	6,569
Gross-up for cash collateral posted if deducted from the on-balance sheet assets, except for cash variation margin. . . . .	234	234
LESS: Deductions of receivable assets for cash variation margin posted in derivatives transactions, if included in on-balance sheet assets (report as a positive value) . . . . .	-	-
LESS: Exempted CCP leg of client-cleared transactions (report as a positive value). . . . .	-	-
Effective notional principal amount of sold credit protection. . . . .	7,052	7,052
LESS: Effective notional principal amount offsets and PFE adjustments for sold credit protection (report as a positive value). . . . .	1,091	1,091
<b>Total derivative exposures</b> . . . . .	<b>17,299</b>	<b>17,189</b>
<b>Repo-style transactions</b>		
On-balance sheet assets for repo-style transactions, include the gross value of receivables for reverse repurchase transactions. . . . .	1,915	0
LESS: Reduction of the gross value of receivables in reverse repurchase transactions by cash payables in repurchase transactions under netting agreements (report as a positive value) . . . . .	-	-
Counterparty credit risk for all repo-style transactions. . . . .	48	5
Exposure for repo-style transactions where a banking organization acts as an agent . . . . .	-	-
<b>Total exposures for repo-style transactions</b> . . . . .	<b>1,963</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Other off-balance sheet exposures</b>		
Off-balance sheet exposures at gross notional amounts. . . . .	343,990	343,908
LESS: Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts . . . . .	240,523	240,475
<b>Off-balance sheet exposures</b> . . . . .	<b>103,467</b>	<b>103,433</b>
<b>Capital and total leverage exposures</b>		
Tier 1 Capital. . . . .	42,781	42,915
Total leverage exposure . . . . .	\$602,611	\$644,540
<b>Supplementary Leverage Ratio</b>		
<b>Supplementary Leverage Ratio</b> . . . . .	<b>7.09927%</b>	<b>6.65824%</b>

## STANDARDIZED APPROACH RISK-WEIGHTED ASSETS

Risk-weighted assets represent an institution's assets and off-balance sheet exposures, weighted according to the risk associated with each exposure category. The risk-weighted asset calculation is used in determining the institution's capital requirement.

The standardized approach assigns each credit exposure category to a predefined risk weight classification.

Standardized approach risk-weighted assets were \$401.8 billion at June 30, 2020, compared with \$391.3 billion at December 31, 2019. The increase in risk weighted assets was primarily driven by increases in wholesale exposures and domestic derivatives due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the global economy. The decrease in other assets was primarily due to a decline in retail exposures driven by a seasonally lower certain credit card exposures and lower consumer spending due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Table 4 Risk-Weighted Assets**

(Dollars in Millions, Unaudited)	June 30, 2020	December 31, 2019	\$ Change	Percent Change
<b>Credit risk</b>				
Exposures to Sovereign Entities (a)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.0 %
Exposures to Depository Entities Foreign Banks	634	691	(57)	(8.2)
PSE Exposures	16,619	13,436	3,183	23.7
Corporate Exposures	129,762	120,977	8,785	7.3
Residential Mortgage Exposures	52,420	51,912	508	1.0
HVCRE loans	4,652	5,124	(472)	(9.2)
Past Due Loans	1,595	1,052	543	51.6
Other Assets	97,743	101,970	(4,227)	(4.1)
Cleared Transactions	-	-	-	0.0
Default Fund Contributions	17	3	14	466.7
Unsettled Transactions	-	-	-	100.0
Securitization Exposures	626	690	(64)	(9.3)
Equity Exposures	12,240	10,071	2,169	21.5
<b>Off Balance Sheet Exposures</b>				
Letters of Credit	7,316	7,615	(299)	(3.9)
Off Balance sheet commitments	69,650	71,450	(1,800)	(2.5)
Derivatives	6,381	3,645	2,736	75.1
Securitizations	378	161	217	134.8
Other Off Balance Sheet Exposures	183	186	(3)	(1.6)
<b>Market risk</b>	2,506	2,286	220	9.6
<b>Excess Allowance</b>	(890)	-	(890)	100.0
<b>Total risk-weighted assets</b>	<b>\$401,832</b>	<b>\$391,269</b>	<b>\$10,563</b>	<b>2.7 %</b>

(a) Exposures to and portions of exposures that are unconditionally guaranteed by, the U.S. Government, its agencies and the federal reserve receive 0% risk weight.

**Credit Risk Mitigation** The Company's approach in underwriting is to grant credit on the basis of capacity to repay rather than place primary reliance on credit risk mitigation. Mitigation is nevertheless an important aspect of effective risk management. Various risk mitigation techniques are used by the Company, including collateral, guarantees and, to a limited extent, credit derivatives.

The Company has a process that takes into account the risk-reducing effects of collateral in support of exposures. Examples of collateral that impact the Company's estimate include, but are not limited to, cash, working capital, depreciable assets and real estate. Unsecured exposures generally result in larger losses and secured exposures generally result in smaller losses.

The Company may use credit default swaps ("CDS") to manage the credit risk of certain large wholesale loan exposures, with the goal of reducing concentrations in individual names. CDS are subject to credit risk associated with counterparties to the contracts.

Credit risk mitigants are valued to monitor and ensure that they will continue to provide the secure repayment source anticipated at the time they were taken. Company policy prescribes the frequency of valuation based on the volatility of the collateral. Valuation methods range from the use of market indices to individual professional inspection.

### **Counterparty Credit Risk of OTC Derivative Contracts, Repo-Style Transactions and Eligible Margin Loans**

Counterparty exposure arises from OTC derivatives, repurchase agreements, securities lending and borrowing and other similar products and activities. The amount of this exposure depends on the value of underlying market factors (e.g. interest rates and foreign exchange rates), which can be volatile and uncertain in nature.

The Company reduces its counterparty exposure related to derivative contracts by centrally clearing all eligible derivatives. All other credit exposure is approved either on a transaction level basis, or under credit limits supporting bilateral trades governed by appropriate master trading agreements. The primary element of the credit approval process is a detailed risk assessment of every credit exposure associated with a counterparty. The Company's risk assessment procedures consider both the credit worthiness of the counterparty and the risks related to the specific type of credit facility or exposure. The Company manages the credit risk of its derivative positions by diversifying its positions among various counterparties, entering into master netting arrangements where possible with its counterparties, requiring collateral and, in certain cases, though insignificant, transferring the counterparty credit risk related to interest rate swaps to third parties through the use of risk participation arrangements. Credit exposures are monitored daily for counterparties with an established Credit Support Annex ("CSA"), to assure collateral levels are appropriately sized to cover risk, and prior to execution of an initial trade for any counterparty to ensure it does not exceed the approved credit limit for each counterparty.

The Company uses the current exposure to calculate exposure at default (EAD) and determine risk-weighted assets and capital requirements for counterparty risk. EAD is calculated for each counterparty that has an International Swaps and Derivatives Association ("ISDA") Master Agreement with the Company using the collateral haircut approach in the current exposure methodology.

For further information on counterparty credit risk, refer to the "Use of Derivatives to Manage Interest Rate and Other Risks" subsection in the "Management's Discussion and Analysis" section of the Annual Report and form 10-Q.

**Collateral** To calculate a counterparty's net risk position for counterparty credit risk, the Company revalues all financial instruments and associated collateral positions on a daily basis. Collateral positions are monitored by a dedicated group that manages a process to ensure that calls for collateral and exposure reductions are made promptly. Processes exist for the resolution of trades where the level of collateral is disputed, or the collateral sought is not received.

Eligible collateral types are documented by a CSA to the ISDA Master Agreement and are controlled under the Company's general credit policies. A valuation haircut policy reflects the fact that collateral may fall in value between the date the collateral is called and the date of liquidation or enforcement. In practice, most of the Company's collateral held as credit risk mitigation under a CSA is either cash or U.S. government securities.

**Credit ratings downgrade** Certain credit support annexes to master arrangements provide for rating dependent triggers, where additional collateral has to be pledged if a party's rating is downgraded. The Company also enters into master arrangements that provide for an additional termination event upon a party's rating downgrade.

The Company analyzes and monitors its potential contingent payment obligations resulting from a rating downgrade in its stress testing approach for liquidity risk on an ongoing basis. At June 30, 2020, the additional collateral required to be posted for a three-notch downgrade of U.S. Bank National Association would be \$171.2 million. No additional collateral would be required for a three-notch downgrade of its parent company, U.S. Bancorp.

The following table summarizes the netting and collateral positions of the Company's derivatives and securities financing transactions ("SFT") using the Current Exposure Method. As defined by the Rule, the gross current credit exposure is calculated as the greater of the positive mark-to-market of the derivative or zero (asset derivatives).



**Table 5 General Disclosure for Counterparty Credit Risk of OTC Derivative Contracts, Repo-Style Transactions, and Eligible Margin Loans**

(Dollars in Millions, Unaudited)	June 30, 2020	December 31, 2019
<b>Derivatives</b>		
Gross positive fair value .....	\$6,626	\$2,897
Netting benefit (a) .....	-	(982)
Net derivatives credit exposure .....	<u>\$6,626</u>	<u>\$1,915</u>
<b>Securities financing transactions</b>		
Gross positive fair value .....	\$6,902	\$7,146
Collateral held for risk mitigation (b) .....	(4,994)	(4,564)
Excess collateral (c) .....	77	96
Net SFT credit exposure .....	<u>\$1,985</u>	<u>\$2,678</u>

(a) Represents netting of derivative asset and liability balances, and related collateral, with the same counterparty subject to master netting agreements.

(b) All collateral is either cash or money market investments.

(c) Certain counterparties have provided collateral in excess of the fair value of the related contracts.

The distribution of gross current credit exposure is shown below:

(Dollars in Millions, Unaudited)	June 30, 2020		December 31, 2019	
	Gross Current Credit Exposure	Exposure at Default (a)	Gross Current Credit Exposure	Exposure at Default (a)
<b>Derivatives</b>				
Credit derivatives (b) .....	\$7	\$850	\$1	\$721
Derivatives .....	5,172	10,267	2,066	7,366
Foreign exchange forwards and options .....	1,011	1,609	767	1,761
Mortgage derivatives .....	436	362	63	58
Total derivative gross current credit exposure (c) .....	<u>\$6,626</u>	<u>\$13,088</u>	<u>\$2,897</u>	<u>\$9,906</u>
<b>Securities financing transactions</b>				
Repo-Style Transactions .....	\$6,879	\$631	\$7,125	\$624
Eligible margin loans .....	23	23	21	21
Total SFT gross current credit exposure (c) .....	<u>\$6,902</u>	<u>\$654</u>	<u>\$7,146</u>	<u>\$645</u>

(a) In addition to the current fair value for asset derivatives, EAD includes amounts for the potential future exposure which is calculated on both asset and liability derivatives.

(b) Credit derivatives have a notional amount of \$3.6 billion for asset derivatives and \$7.5 billion for liability derivatives at June 30, 2020 and \$2.9 billion for asset derivatives and \$7.5 billion for liability derivatives at December 31, 2019.

(c) The gross positive fair value of derivative contracts averaged \$4.4 billion for the second quarter of 2020 and \$2.2 billion for the fourth quarter of 2019. The gross positive fair value of SFT contracts averaged \$4.9 billion for the fourth quarter of 2019 and \$3.6 billion for the fourth quarter of 2018.

**Securitization** The disclosures in this section refer to securitizations held in the banking book and the regulatory capital on these exposures calculated according to the Rule. A participant in the securitization market is typically an originator, investor, or sponsor. The Company's primary securitization-related activity is investing in products created by third parties and entering into secured lending transactions with clients. Securitization exposures held in the banking book include traditional non-government or non-agency guaranteed ABS and MBS securitizations, loans, lines of credit, and liquidity facilities. The Company is not applying any credit risk mitigation to its securitization exposures and doesn't have exposure to securitization guarantors. The Company does not have any synthetic securitization exposure and does not act as a sponsor.

The Company calculates the regulatory capital requirement for securitization exposures in accordance with the hierarchy of approaches prescribed in the Rule. The Company utilizes the Simplified Supervisory Formula Approach ("SSFA") to determine risk-weighted assets for the majority of its securitization exposures. The SSFA framework considers the Company's seniority in the securitization structure and risk factors inherent in the underlying assets.

As presented in Table 6 below, the Company's total securitization exposures at June 30, 2020, were \$4.3 billion compared to \$3.7 billion at December 31, 2019.

### Table 6 Securitizations

Securitizations by exposure type are shown below (a):

(Dollars in Millions, Unaudited)	June 30, 2020			December 31, 2019		
	On Balance Sheet Exposure	Off Balance Sheet Exposure	Total Exposure	On Balance Sheet Exposure	Off Balance Sheet Exposure	Total Exposure
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Asset-backed securities	368	-	368	383	-	383
Other (b)	1,539	2,373	3,912	1,869	1,470	3,339
Total securitization exposure	\$1,907	\$2,373	\$4,280	\$2,252	\$1,470	\$3,722

Securitizations by capital treatment and underlying exposure type are shown below (a):

(Dollars in Millions, Unaudited)	June 30, 2020			December 31, 2019		
	Notional Amount	SSFA Risk Weighted Assets	1250% Risk Weighted	Notional Amount	SSFA Risk Weighted Assets	1250% Risk Weighted
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Asset-backed securities	368	89	-	383	84	-
Other (b)	3,912	857	108	3,339	707	127
Total securitization exposure	\$4,280	\$946	\$108	\$3,722	\$791	\$127

Securitizations by capital treatment and risk-weight bands are summarized below (a):

(Dollars in Millions, Unaudited)	June 30, 2020				December 31, 2019			
	Notional Amount	SSFA Risk Weighted Assets	1250% Risk Weighted	Capital impact of RWA (c)	Notional Amount	SSFA Risk Weighted Assets	1250% Risk Weighted	Capital impact of RWA (c)
<b>Securitizations</b>								
Zero to 250% risk weighting	\$4,268	\$929	\$ -	\$74	\$3,707	\$782	\$ -	\$63
251% to 500% risk weighting	2	7	-	1	3	7	-	1
501% to 1250% risk weighting	10	10	108	9	12	2	127	10
<b>Resecuritizations</b>								
Zero to 250% risk weighting	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
251% to 500% risk weighting	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
501% to 1250% risk weighting	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total securitization exposures	\$4,280	\$946	\$108	\$84	\$3,722	\$791	\$127	\$74

(a) Table related to the Company as an investor/originator in the securitization.

(b) Includes loans, lines of credit, and liquidity facilities.

(c) The capital impact of RWA is calculated by multiplying risk weighted assets by the minimum total risk-based capital ratio of 8%.

**Equity Securities Not Subject to Market Risk Rule** The Company has total equity exposures of approximately \$16.3 billion, with \$10.9 billion in individual equities and \$5.4 billion in equity funds at June 30, 2020. The majority of the individual investments are related to the Company's community reinvestment activities, including tax-advantaged investments made through U.S. Bancorp Community Development Corporation. The Company uses the Simple Risk-Weight Approach for its individual equity securities.

Equity exposures in investment funds consist of Bank Owned Life Insurance ("BOLI"), private equity, money market and other equity funds. The Company uses the Full Look-Through Approach for BOLI assets in separate and hybrid accounts. Investment guidelines specify objectives and constraints for separate and hybrid account BOLI investment funds, requirements and duration parameters. In compliance with these guidelines, underlying investment exposures include Treasury, agency, asset-backed, and mortgage-backed securities and corporate notes and bonds.

Non-marketable equity securities are generally recorded either at historical cost or using the equity method. Details of the Company's accounting policy for equity investments and the valuation of financial instruments are provided in Note 1—Significant Accounting Policies in the Annual Report.

Marketable equity securities are generally recorded as available-for-sale and carried at fair value with unrealized net gains or losses reported within other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders' equity. For regulatory capital purposes unrealized gains are excluded from tier 1 capital.

Equity securities maintained in the trading account are reported at fair value. At June 30, 2020, the Company does not have material equity exposure in the trading account. Changes in fair value are recorded in earnings.

The Company had no realized gains arising from the sales and liquidations of equity securities during the second quarter of 2020

Table 7 summarizes the Company's equity securities not subject to the market risk rule. Latent revaluation gains/losses are unrealized gains/losses on nonpublic equity securities recorded at cost; these latent revaluation gains/losses are not recognized in the Company's financial statements. Latent revaluation gains of \$281 million and \$274 million at June 30, 2020 and December 31, 2019, respectively.

**Table 7 Equity Securities Not Subject to Market Risk Rule**

(Dollars In Millions, Unaudited)	June 30, 2020			December 31, 2019		
	Nonpublic	Public	Total	Nonpublic	Public	Total
Amortized cost	\$16,251	\$18	\$16,269	\$15,960	\$38	\$15,998
Unrealized gains/losses	-	-	-	-	-	-
Latent revaluation gains/losses (a)	281	-	281	274	-	274
Fair value	\$16,532	\$18	\$16,550	\$16,234	\$38	\$16,272
Unrealized gains/losses included in risk-based capital	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

(a) Represents unrealized gains (losses) on nonpublic equity securities recorded at cost. The unrealized gains (losses) are not recognized either in the balance sheet or through earnings.

The capital requirements of equity securities are shown below:

(Dollars In Millions, Unaudited)	June 30, 2020			December 31, 2019		
	Exposure	Risk Weighted Assets	Capital impact of RWA	Exposure	Risk Weighted Assets	Capital impact of RWA
0%	\$443	\$ -	\$ -	\$443	\$ -	\$ -
20%	690	138	11	491	98	8
100%	9,725	9,725	778	9,687	9,688	775
250%	2	6	-	2	5	-
Full look-through approach	5,409	242	19	5,375	280	22
Total capital requirements for equity securities	\$16,269	\$10,111	\$808	\$15,998	\$10,071	\$805

## MARKET RISK

In addition to interest rate risk, the Company is exposed to other forms of market risk, including: 1) trading activities which support customers' strategies to manage their own foreign currency, interest rate risk and funding activities; 2) hedging activities related to mortgage loans held for sale and MSRs; and 3) valuation of the Company's investment portfolio. Market risk risk-weighted assets for trading activities increased slightly to \$2.5 billion at June 30, 2020 compared to \$2.3 billion at December 31, 2019. Refer to the "Market Risk Management" section of the "Management's Discussion and Analysis" section of the Annual Report and form 10-Q for further discussion of market risk associated with client related trading and mortgage hedging.

## CREDIT RISK: GENERAL DISCLOSURES

The Company's strategy for credit risk management includes well-defined, centralized credit policies, uniform underwriting criteria, and ongoing risk monitoring and review processes for all commercial and consumer credit exposures. The strategy also emphasizes diversification on an industry, geographic and customer level, regular credit examinations and management reviews of loans exhibiting deterioration of credit quality. The Company's credit risk management strategy, including its rating system, is subject to an independent review function to ensure the control mechanisms are operating as intended. For further detail on the Company's general credit risk management, see the "Credit Risk Management" section of "Management's Discussion and Analysis" in the Annual Report and form 10-Q.

Disclosures included in this Credit Risk: General Disclosures are presented under the interpretation that the Rule's definition of "major types of credit exposures" corresponds to the definition in the Company's Annual Report and form 10-Q. The tables report balance sheet classifications consistent with the Annual Report and form 10-Q. Credit

risk associated with loans, debt securities, commitments to extend credit and letters of credit are presented in this section. Credit risk associated with other off-balance sheet commitments and OTC derivatives are presented in other tables which is consistent with the Company's disclosures in the Annual Report and form 10-Q. See the Company's Pillar 3 Disclosures Matrix for the location of other off-balance sheet credit risk disclosures.

The Company categorizes its loan portfolio into two segments, which is the level at which it develops and documents a systematic methodology to determine the allowance for credit losses based on expected credit losses given current credit quality and reasonable and supportable economic forecasts. The Company's loan portfolio segments are commercial lending and consumer lending. The Company further disaggregates its loans into various classes based on their underlying risk characteristics. The two classes within the commercial lending segment are commercial and commercial real estate loans. The three classes within the consumer lending segment are residential mortgages, credit card loans and other retail loans. Trends in delinquency and nonperforming ratios are an indicator, among other considerations, of credit risk within the Company's loan portfolios.

Table 8 provides industry distribution by major types of credit exposure. The credit exposure includes loans, contractual commitments to extend credit and letters of credit. This differs from the industry distribution by major types of credit exposure disclosed in the Company's Annual Report and form 10-Q, which includes only loans.

**Table 8 Credit Exposure by Industry (a)**

	June 30, 2020		December 31, 2019	
	Total Commitments	Percent of Total	Total Commitments	Percent of Total
(Dollars in Millions, Unaudited)				
<b>Commercial</b>				
Manufacturing . . . . .	\$48,875	16.6 %	\$45,301	16.7 %
Finance and insurance . . . . .	37,240	12.7	34,939	12.9
Real estate, rental and leasing . . . . .	28,579	9.7	26,186	9.6
Retail trade . . . . .	20,169	6.9	19,350	7.1
Wholesale trade . . . . .	19,873	6.7	18,811	6.9
Public administration . . . . .	12,638	4.3	12,254	4.5
Health care and social assistance . . . . .	14,763	5.0	10,740	3.9
Utilities . . . . .	12,611	4.3	10,481	3.9
Information . . . . .	9,214	3.1	9,067	3.3
Transport and storage . . . . .	10,268	3.5	9,626	3.5
Professional, scientific and technical services . . . . .	10,825	3.7	9,148	3.4
Arts, entertainment and recreation . . . . .	5,961	2.0	6,069	2.2
Educational services . . . . .	7,174	2.4	5,899	2.2
Mining . . . . .	5,984	2.0	6,262	2.3
Other services . . . . .	3,512	1.2	2,912	1.1
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting . . . . .	2,215	.8	2,168	.8
Other . . . . .	44,546	15.1	42,817	15.7
Total commercial . . . . .	294,447	100.0	272,030	100.0
<b>Commercial real estate</b>				
Business owner occupied . . . . .	9,636	18.4	9,784	19.2
<b>Commercial property</b>				
Industrial . . . . .	3,719	7.1	3,441	6.7
Office . . . . .	8,038	15.4	7,344	14.4
Retail . . . . .	4,194	8.0	4,253	8.3
Other commercial . . . . .	4,410	8.4	4,732	9.3
Residential Development . . . . .	5,534	10.6	5,382	10.5
Multi-family . . . . .	12,694	24.3	11,891	23.3
Hotel/motel . . . . .	3,767	7.2	3,876	7.6
Health care facilities . . . . .	330	.6	335	.7
Total commercial real estate . . . . .	52,322	100.0	51,038	100.0
<b>Residential mortgages</b> . . . . .	71,885	100.0	71,003	100.0
<b>Credit card</b> . . . . .	134,130	100.0	136,562	100.0
<b>Other retail</b>				
Retail leasing . . . . .	8,412	9.0	8,490	9.0
Home equity and second mortgages . . . . .	36,967	39.6	38,242	40.7
Other retail . . . . .	47,970	51.4	47,183	50.3
Total other retail . . . . .	93,349	100.0	93,915	100.0
Total commitments . . . . .	\$646,133	100.0 %	\$624,548	100.0 %

(a) Net of participations sold.

Table 9 provides the geographic distribution of major types of credit exposure. The credit exposure includes loans, contractual commitments to extend credit and letters of credit. This differs from the geographic distribution by major types of credit exposure disclosed in the Company's Annual Report and form 10-Q, which includes only loans.

**Table 9 Credit Exposure by Geography (a)**

(Dollars in Millions, Unaudited)	June 30, 2020		December 31, 2019	
	Total Commitments	Percent of Total	Total Commitments	Percent of Total
<b>Commercial</b>				
California	\$35,931	12.2 %	\$32,245	11.9 %
Colorado	9,011	3.1	9,035	3.3
Illinois	16,360	5.6	14,374	5.3
Minnesota	19,478	6.6	17,358	6.4
Missouri	10,601	3.6	9,680	3.6
Ohio	12,364	4.2	11,843	4.4
Oregon	6,417	2.2	5,140	1.9
Washington	10,955	3.7	9,874	3.6
Wisconsin	10,639	3.6	9,596	3.5
Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota	12,578	4.3	11,902	4.4
Arkansas, Indiana, Kentucky, North Carolina, Tennessee	19,487	6.6	18,131	6.7
Idaho, Montana, Wyoming	3,225	1.1	2,991	1.1
Arizona, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah	10,112	3.4	9,565	3.4
Total banking region	177,158	60.2	161,734	59.5
Outside the Company's banking region				
Florida, Michigan, New York, Pennsylvania, Texas	60,194	20.4	56,876	20.9
All other states	57,095	19.4	53,420	19.6
Total outside the Company's banking region	117,289	39.8	110,296	40.5
Total commercial	294,447	100.0	272,030	100.0
<b>Commercial real estate</b>				
California	12,928	24.7	12,748	25.0
Colorado	2,247	4.3	2,255	4.4
Illinois	1,829	3.5	1,651	3.2
Minnesota	2,400	4.6	2,382	4.7
Missouri	1,416	2.7	1,246	2.4
Ohio	1,678	3.2	1,496	2.9
Oregon	2,064	3.9	2,066	4.0
Washington	4,252	8.1	4,215	8.3
Wisconsin	1,896	3.6	1,843	3.6
Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota	2,283	4.4	2,290	4.5
Arkansas, Indiana, Kentucky, North Carolina, Tennessee	4,218	8.1	4,032	7.9
Idaho, Montana, Wyoming	1,208	2.3	1,179	2.3
Arizona, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah	3,774	7.2	4,109	8.1
Total banking region	42,193	80.6	41,512	81.3
Outside the Company's banking region				
Florida, Michigan, New York, Pennsylvania, Texas	5,824	11.1	5,782	11.4
All other states	4,305	8.3	3,744	7.3
Total outside the Company's banking region	10,129	19.4	9,526	18.7
Total commercial real estate	\$52,322	100.0 %	\$51,038	100.0 %

(a) Net of participations sold.

**Table 9 Credit Exposure by Geography Continued (a)**

(Dollars in Millions, Unaudited)	June 30, 2020		December 31, 2019	
	Total Commitments	Percent of Total	Total Commitments	Percent of Total
<b>Residential mortgages</b>				
California	\$23,067	32.1 %	\$22,945	32.4 %
Colorado	3,890	5.4	3,864	5.4
Illinois	3,431	4.8	3,488	4.9
Minnesota	4,279	5.9	4,359	6.1
Missouri	1,692	2.4	1,704	2.4
Ohio	1,985	2.8	2,017	2.8
Oregon	2,459	3.4	2,485	3.5
Washington	4,094	5.7	4,075	5.7
Wisconsin	1,457	2.0	1,503	2.1
Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota	1,915	2.7	1,970	2.8
Arkansas, Indiana, Kentucky, North Carolina, Tennessee	3,953	5.5	3,921	5.5
Idaho, Montana, Wyoming	1,347	1.9	1,354	1.9
Arizona, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah	5,343	7.4	5,229	7.4
Total banking region	58,912	82.0	58,914	82.9
Outside the Company's banking region				
Florida, Michigan, New York, Pennsylvania, Texas	5,485	7.6	5,162	7.3
All other states	7,488	10.4	6,927	9.8
Total outside the Company's banking region	12,973	18.0	12,089	17.1
Total residential mortgages	71,885	100.0	71,003	100.0
<b>Credit card</b>				
California	14,088	10.5	14,428	10.6
Colorado	4,967	3.7	5,092	3.7
Illinois	6,511	4.9	6,697	4.9
Minnesota	7,314	5.4	7,511	5.5
Missouri	3,816	2.8	3,937	2.9
Ohio	6,429	4.8	6,609	4.8
Oregon	3,978	3.0	4,112	3.0
Washington	5,090	3.8	5,237	3.8
Wisconsin	6,897	5.1	7,033	5.2
Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota	6,311	4.7	6,433	4.7
Arkansas, Indiana, Kentucky, North Carolina, Tennessee	11,154	8.3	11,373	8.3
Idaho, Montana, Wyoming	2,236	1.7	2,308	1.7
Arizona, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah	6,709	5.0	6,872	5.1
Total banking region	85,500	63.7	87,642	64.2
Outside the Company's banking region				
Florida, Michigan, New York, Pennsylvania, Texas	25,995	19.4	26,226	19.2
All other states	22,635	16.9	22,694	16.6
Total outside the Company's banking region	48,630	36.3	48,920	35.8
Total credit card	134,130	100.0	136,562	100.0
<b>Retail loans</b>				
California	16,967	18.2	17,376	18.5
Colorado	4,826	5.2	4,920	5.2
Illinois	4,391	4.7	4,861	5.2
Minnesota	8,054	8.6	8,138	8.7
Missouri	3,450	3.7	3,502	3.7
Ohio	4,230	4.5	4,223	4.5
Oregon	3,861	4.1	3,853	4.1
Washington	4,551	4.9	4,446	4.7
Wisconsin	2,643	2.8	2,651	2.8
Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota	4,154	4.4	4,181	4.5
Arkansas, Indiana, Kentucky, North Carolina, Tennessee	5,481	5.9	5,488	5.8
Idaho, Montana, Wyoming	2,245	2.4	2,243	2.4
Arizona, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah	4,803	5.2	4,887	5.2
Total banking region	69,656	74.6	70,769	75.3
Outside the Company's banking region				
Florida, Michigan, New York, Pennsylvania, Texas	13,720	14.7	13,432	14.4
All other states	9,973	10.7	9,714	10.3
Total outside the Company's banking region	23,693	25.4	23,146	24.7
Total retail loans	93,349	100.0	93,915	100.0
Total commitments	\$646,133	100.0 %	\$624,548	100.0 %

(a) Net of participations sold.

The Company's investment securities portfolio includes U.S. Treasury and agencies, agency mortgage-backed securities, and obligations of state and political subdivisions (municipal securities). The most important feature management relies on when assessing credit risk for U.S. Treasury and agencies and agency mortgage-backed securities is the guarantee of the federal government or its agencies. Geography is one of the factors the Company considers in managing its investment in municipal securities. Table 10 shows that the Company's municipal securities are distributed throughout the major regions of the United States.

**Table 10 Municipal Securities by Geography**

(Dollars in Millions, Unaudited)	June 30, 2020		December 31, 2019	
	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount	Percent of Total
<b>Municipal securities</b>				
Southeast .....	\$2,176	27.2 %	\$1,792	26.3 %
West .....	1,975	24.7	1,738	25.5
Northeast .....	1,753	21.9	1,580	23.2
Southwest .....	1,277	16.0	996	14.6
Midwest .....	815	10.2	708	10.4
Multi-state .....	-	-	-	-
<b>Total municipal securities</b> .....	<b>\$7,996</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	<b>\$6,707</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>

Table 11 provides a maturity distribution by loan category for loans, contractual commitments to extend credit and letters of credit. The contractual amounts of commitments to extend credit and letters of credit represent the Company's maximum exposure to credit loss, in the event of default by the borrower if the borrower were to fully draw against the commitment. The Company manages this credit risk by using the same credit policies it applies to loans. Management assesses the borrower's credit worthiness to determine the necessary collateral, which may include marketable securities, receivables, inventory, equipment and real estate. Refer to the Annual Report and form 10-Q for further details.

**Table 11 Credit Risk and Maturity by Exposure Type**

Loan maturity distribution by loan category:

(Dollars in Millions, Unaudited)	June 30, 2020				December 31, 2019			
	One Year or Less	Over One Through Five Years	Over Five Years	Total	One Year or Less	Over One Through Five Years	Over Five Years	Total
Commercial .....	\$48,064	\$69,308	\$2,889	\$120,261	\$40,211	\$59,926	\$3,726	\$103,863
Commercial real estate .....	11,535	22,286	7,255	41,076	10,322	22,029	7,395	39,746
Residential mortgages .....	2,649	9,528	59,152	71,329	2,490	9,041	59,055	70,586
Retail credit card .....	21,257	-	-	21,257	24,789	-	-	24,789
Other Retail .....	10,300	24,488	21,624	56,412	10,830	24,741	21,547	57,118
<b>Total loans</b> .....	<b>\$93,805</b>	<b>\$125,610</b>	<b>\$90,920</b>	<b>\$310,335</b>	<b>\$88,642</b>	<b>\$115,737</b>	<b>\$91,723</b>	<b>\$296,102</b>

The ending and average contract (notional) amounts of unfunded commitments to extend credit and letters of credit, excluding those commitments considered derivatives, are shown below. Since the Company expects many of the commitments to expire without being drawn, total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent the Company's future liquidity requirements.

Unfunded Commitment maturity distribution by loan category:

(Dollars in Millions, Unaudited)	June 30, 2020				December 31, 2019			
	Ending Balance			Average Balance	Ending Balance			Average Balance
	One Year or Less	Greater Than One Year	Total		One Year or Less	Greater Than One Year	Total	
<b>Unfunded commitments to extend credit (a)</b>								
Commercial and commercial real estate	\$42,637	\$101,221	\$143,858	\$136,303	\$31,235	\$108,303	\$139,538	\$139,475
Corporate and purchasing cards (b)	31,291	-	31,291	31,362	29,296	-	29,296	28,767
Residential mortgages	556	-	556	616	416	1	417	505
Retail credit card (b)	112,873	-	112,873	114,076	111,773	-	111,773	111,834
Other retail	12,996	23,941	36,937	36,811	12,614	24,183	36,797	36,894
Covered	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total unused loan commitments	200,353	125,162	325,515	319,168	185,334	132,487	317,821	317,475
Other non-loan related unused commitments	6,505	2	6,507	6,476	6,325	-	6,325	6,316
Total commitments to extend credit	\$206,858	\$125,164	\$332,022	\$325,644	\$191,659	\$132,487	\$324,146	\$323,791
<b>Letters of credit (a)</b>								
Standby	\$3,967	\$5,910	\$9,877	\$9,923	\$4,676	\$5,582	\$10,258	\$10,358
Commercial	384	22	406	387	339	28	367	339

Table 12 provides industry detail of the past due and nonperforming loans for each class within the commercial lending segment and further loan type breakout within the other retail class. The Company has continued to see improvement in past due and nonperforming loans across most loan classes. Refer to the Annual Report and form 10-Q for further details.

**Table 12 Past Due and Nonperforming Loans by Industry**

Industry Group (Dollars in Millions, Unaudited)	June 30, 2020					
	Accruing Loans				Total Nonaccrual	
	30-89 Days Past Due		90 or More Days Past Due		Total Nonaccrual	
	Amount	As a Percent of Ending Loans	Amount	As a Percent of Ending Loans	Amount	As a Percent of Ending Loans
<b>Commercial</b>						
Manufacturing	\$36	.20 %	\$1	.01 %	\$52	.28 %
Finance and insurance	7	.05	-	-	5	.04
Real estate, rental and leasing	9	.06	-	-	10	.07
Retail trade	13	.18	1	.01	58	.80
Wholesale trade	11	.13	1	.01	28	.33
Public administration	40	.90	5	.11	2	.04
Health care and social assistance	63	.80	-	-	25	.32
Utilities	1	.02	-	-	-	-
Information	9	.25	-	-	2	.05
Transport and storage	26	.51	-	-	11	.22
Professional, scientific and technical services	20	.40	1	.02	9	.18
Arts, entertainment and recreation	4	.10	-	-	4	.10
Educational services	14	.46	-	-	6	.20
Mining	1	.05	-	-	192	9.13
Other services	10	.48	-	-	6	.29
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting	27	2.54	-	-	19	1.78
Other	108	.67	81	.50	27	.17
Total commercial	<b>399</b>	<b>.33</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>.07</b>	<b>456</b>	<b>.38</b>
<b>Commercial real estate</b>						
Business owner occupied	30	.33	-	-	67	.74
Commercial property						
Industrial	5	.17	-	-	1	.03
Office	6	.10	-	-	6	.10
Retail	24	.60	-	-	96	2.40
Other	3	.08	-	-	3	.08
Residential Development	13	.43	2	.07	4	.13
Multi-family	22	.26	-	-	10	.12
Hotel/motel	-	-	-	-	7	.22
Healthcare	-	-	-	-	1	.36
Total commercial real estate	<b>103</b>	<b>.25</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>.47</b>
<b>Residential mortgages</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>.34</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>.16</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>.34</b>
<b>Credit card</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>1.08</b>	<b>259</b>	<b>1.22</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Other retail</b>						
Retail leasing	43	.51	5	.06	19	.23
Home equity and second mortgages	64	.46	52	.37	110	.79
Other retail	147	.43	33	.10	49	.14
Total other retail	<b>254</b>	<b>.45</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>.16</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>.32</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,227</b>	<b>.40 %</b>	<b>\$556</b>	<b>.18 %</b>	<b>\$1,071</b>	<b>.35 %</b>



**Table 12 Past Due and Nonperforming Loans by Industry Continued**

Industry Group (Dollars in Millions, Unaudited)	December 31, 2019					
	Accruing Loans				Total Nonaccrual	
	30-89 Days Past Due		90 or More Days Past Due			
	As a Percent of Ending		As a Percent of Ending		As a Percent of Ending	
Amount	Loans	Amount	Loans	Amount	Loans	
<b>Commercial</b>						
Manufacturing	\$40	.27 %	\$1	.01 %	\$37	.25 %
Finance and insurance	7	.06	-	-	4	.03
Real estate, rental and leasing	5	.04	-	-	14	.11
Retail trade	7	.09	1	.01	33	.43
Wholesale trade	6	.07	-	-	5	.06
Public administration	52	1.22	11	.26	1	.02
Health care and social assistance	26	.50	-	-	13	.25
Utilities	1	.05	-	-	-	-
Information	4	.11	-	-	1	.03
Transport and storage	12	.28	-	-	5	.12
Professional, scientific and technical services	21	.53	1	.03	7	.18
Arts, entertainment and recreation	2	.06	-	-	2	.06
Educational services	12	.43	-	-	16	.58
Mining	-	-	-	-	12	.56
Other services	7	.41	-	-	4	.23
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting	2	.17	-	-	23	1.98
Other	103	.73	65	.46	27	.19
<b>Total commercial</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>.30</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>.08</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>.20</b>
<b>Commercial real estate</b>						
Business owner occupied	13	.14	-	-	46	.50
Commercial property						
Industrial	1	.04	-	-	1	.04
Office	5	.09	-	-	2	.03
Retail	7	.18	3	.08	13	.33
Other	1	.03	-	-	4	.11
Multi-family	1	.03	-	-	1	.03
Hotel/motel	6	.07	-	-	3	.04
Residential Development	-	-	-	-	10	.32
Healthcare	-	-	-	-	2	.77
<b>Total commercial real estate</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>.09</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>.01</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>.21</b>
<b>Residential mortgages</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>.22</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>.17</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>.34</b>
<b>Credit card</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>1.30</b>	<b>306</b>	<b>1.23</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Other retail</b>						
Retail leasing	45	.53	4	.05	13	.15
Home equity and second mortgages	77	.51	48	.32	116	.77
Other retail	271	.81	45	.13	36	.11
<b>Total other retail</b>	<b>393</b>	<b>.69</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>.17</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>.29</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,209</b>	<b>.41 %</b>	<b>\$605</b>	<b>.20 %</b>	<b>\$692</b>	<b>.23 %</b>

Impaired loans include all nonaccrual and troubled debt restructured (“TDR”) loans. The past due and nonperforming loan tables include \$454 million of TDRs that are not performing in accordance with the modified terms in nonperforming loans. In addition, performing TDRs that are past due are reported according to contractual delinquency. Performing TDRs of \$79 million are included in 90 days past due and performing TDRs of \$108 million are included in 30-89 days past due. The past due and nonperforming loan tables above exclude approximately \$1.8 billion of TDRs that are considered current with the modified terms. See the Company's Annual Report and form 10-Q for more information on TDRs and nonperforming loans.

In addition to industry, the Company uses the geography of the borrower’s business or property location in the case of real estate secured loans, among other key risk characteristics, to determine estimates about the likelihood of default by the borrowers and the severity of loss in the event of default. Table 13 provides geographic detail on past due and nonperforming loans.

**Table 13 Past Due and Nonperforming Loans by Geography**

June 30, 2020						
(Dollars in Millions, Unaudited)	Accruing Loans				Nonaccrual Loans	
	30-89 Days Past Due		90 or More Days Past Due		Nonaccrual	
	As a Percent of Ending		As a Percent of Ending		As a Percent of Ending	
	Amount	Loans	Amount	Loans	Amount	Loans
California	\$230	.39 %	\$96	.16 %	\$192	.32 %
Colorado	31	.25	21	.17	120	.95
Illinois	92	.60	38	.25	80	.52
Minnesota	41	.22	22	.12	26	.14
Missouri	29	.29	18	.18	29	.29
Ohio	55	.45	25	.21	53	.44
Oregon	30	.33	16	.18	17	.19
Washington	51	.34	16	.11	40	.27
Wisconsin	25	.25	15	.15	32	.32
Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska North Dakota, South Dakota	51	.44	19	.16	53	.46
Arkansas, Indiana, Kentucky, North Carolina, Tennessee	93	.48	45	.23	155	.80
Idaho, Montana, Wyoming	19	.39	11	.22	15	.31
Arizona, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah	76	.44	40	.23	34	.20
Total banking region	824	.38	382	.18	\$846	.39
Outside the Company's banking region						
Florida, Michigan, New York, Pennsylvania, Texas	245	.48	100	.20	139	.27
All other states	158	.36	74	.17	86	.20
Total	\$1,227	.40 %	\$556	.18 %	\$1,071	.35 %

December 31, 2019						
(Dollars in Millions, Unaudited)	Accruing Loans				Nonaccrual Loans	
	30-89 Days Past Due		90 or More Days Past Due		Total Nonaccrual	
	As a Percent of Ending		As a Percent of Ending		As a Percent of Ending	
	Amount	Loans	Amount	Loans	Amount	Loans
California	\$180	.31 %	\$89	.15 %	\$102	.18 %
Colorado	36	.29	20	.16	15	.12
Illinois	84	.58	55	.38	82	.57
Minnesota	44	.24	25	.14	26	.14
Missouri	35	.38	22	.24	49	.53
Ohio	51	.43	27	.23	31	.26
Oregon	28	.33	16	.19	18	.21
Washington	30	.21	18	.13	34	.24
Wisconsin	29	.30	18	.19	34	.36
Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska North Dakota, South Dakota	68	.57	23	.19	25	.21
Arkansas, Indiana, Kentucky, North Carolina, Tennessee	99	.51	49	.25	78	.41
Idaho, Montana, Wyoming	15	.31	9	.19	7	.14
Arizona, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah	70	.42	46	.27	26	.15
Total banking region	769	.37	417	.20	527	.25
Outside the Company's banking region						
Florida, Michigan, New York, Pennsylvania, Texas	257	.54	107	.23	101	.21
All other states	183	.45	81	.20	64	.16
Total	\$1,209	.41 %	\$605	.20 %	\$692	.23 %

Table 14 shows the amount of the allowance for credit losses by loan portfolio class. Although the Company determines the amount of each element of the allowance separately and considers this process to be an important credit management tool, the entire allowance for credit losses is available for the entire loan portfolio. The actual amount of losses incurred can vary significantly from the estimated amounts. Effective January 1, 2020, the Company adopted new accounting guidance which changed previous impairment recognition to a model that is based on expected losses rather than incurred losses. This change resulted in increased allowance for credit loss.

Management evaluates the appropriateness of the allowance for credit losses on a quarterly basis. The allowance considers expected losses for the remaining lives of the applicable assets. Multiple economic scenarios are considered over a three-year reasonable and supportable forecast period, which incorporates historical loss experience in years two and three. After the forecast period, the Company fully reverts to long-term historical loss experience, adjusted for prepayments and characteristics of the current loan and lease portfolio, to estimate losses over the remaining lives. The economic scenarios are updated at least quarterly and are designed to provide a range of reasonable estimates, both better and worse than current expectations. Refer to “Management’s Discussion and Analysis--Analysis and Determination of the Allowance for Credit Losses” in the Annual Report and form 10-Q for further discussion on the evaluation of the allowance for credit losses.

**Table 14 Elements of the Allowance for Credit Losses**

(Dollars in Millions, Unaudited)	June 30, 2020		December 31, 2019	
	Amount	Percent of Ending Loans	Amount	Percent of Ending Loans
Commercial .....	\$2,645	2.20 %	\$1,484	1.43 %
Commercial real estate .....	1,269	3.09	799	2.01
Residential mortgages .....	633	.89	433	.61
Credit card .....	2,156	10.14	1,128	4.55
Other retail .....	1,187	2.10	647	1.13
Total allowance for credit losses .....	\$7,890	2.54 %	\$4,491	1.52 %

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The following information appears in accordance with the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995:

This Pillar 3 Disclosures document contains forward-looking statements about the Company. Statements that are not historical or current facts, including statements about beliefs and expectations, are forward-looking statements and are based on the information available to, and assumptions and estimates made by, management as of the date hereof. These forward-looking statements cover, among other things, anticipated future revenue and expenses and the future plans and prospects of the Company.

Forward-looking statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties, and important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated. The COVID-19 pandemic is adversely affecting us, our customers, counterparties, employees, and third-party service providers, and the ultimate extent of the impacts on our business, financial position, results of operations, liquidity, and prospects is uncertain. Continued deterioration in general business and economic conditions or turbulence in domestic or global financial markets could adversely affect the Company’s revenues and the values of its assets and liabilities, reduce the availability of funding to certain financial institutions, lead to a tightening of credit and increase stock price volatility. In addition, changes to statutes, regulations, or regulatory policies or practices could affect the Company in substantial and unpredictable ways. The Company’s results could also be adversely affected by changes in interest rates; deterioration in the credit quality of its loan portfolios or in the value of the collateral securing those loans; deterioration in the value of its investment securities; legal and regulatory developments; litigation; increased competition from both banks and non-banks; changes in the level of tariffs and other trade policies of the United States and its global trading partners; changes in customer behavior and preferences; breaches in data security; failures to safeguard personal information; effects of mergers and acquisitions and related integration; effects of critical accounting policies and judgments; and management’s ability to effectively manage credit risk, market risk, operational risk, compliance risk, strategic risk, interest rate risk, liquidity risk and reputational risk.

For discussion of these and other risks that may cause actual results to differ from expectations, refer to the Company’s Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2019, and form 10-Q on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the sections entitled “Risk Factors” and “Corporate Risk Profile” contained in

Exhibit 13, and all subsequent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission under Sections 13(a), 13(c), 14 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, including the section entitled “Risk Factors” in the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2020. In addition, factors other than these risks also could adversely affect the Company’s results, and the reader should not consider these risks to be a complete set of all potential risks or uncertainties. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date hereof, and the Company undertakes no obligation to update them in light of new information or future events.



**U.S. Bancorp Basel Capital Pillar 3 Disclosures Matrix**  
As of June 30, 2020

In compliance with the Section-63 Disclosure Requirements by certain institutions with consolidated assets of greater than \$50 Billion that are not advanced approaches institutions: In accordance with the rule, U.S. Bancorp the ("Company" or "USB") has provided the following summary of the required disclosure locations. All documents referenced can be found at usbank.com.

Table	Disclosure Requirement	Disclosure Location	Disclosure Page	Source Reference - if applicable
<b>Scope of Application</b>				
Qualitative: (a)	The name of the top corporate entity in the group to which the Risk-Based Capital Standards apply:	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited)</u> : Overview	3	
(b)	A brief description of the differences in the basis for consolidating entities for accounting and regulatory purposes, with a description of those entities: (1) that are fully consolidated; (2) that are deconsolidated and deducted from total capital; (3) for which the total capital requirement is deducted; and (4) that are neither consolidated nor deducted (for example, where the investment in the entity is assigned a risk weight in accordance with this subpart).	Not applicable. The Company does not have differences in the basis of consolidation for accounting and regulatory purposes.	None	None
(c)	Any restrictions, or other major impediments, on transfer of funds or regulatory capital within the group.	<u>2019 Annual Report (Audited)</u> : Note 24--U.S. Bancorp (Parent Company) Consolidated Balance Sheet		<u>2019 Annual Report</u> pg 138-139
Quantitative: (d)	The aggregate amount of surplus capital of insurance subsidiaries included in the regulatory capital of the consolidated group.	<u>FR Y9-C</u> Schedule HC-R Part I, Regulatory Capital Components and Ratios		<u>FR Y9-C</u> Schedule HC-R Part I
(e)	The aggregate amount by which actual regulatory capital is less than the minimum regulatory capital requirement in all subsidiaries with regulatory capital requirements and the name(s) of the subsidiaries with such deficiencies.	None.	None	None
<b>Capital Structure</b>				
Qualitative: (a)	Summary information on the terms and conditions of the main features of all regulatory capital instruments.	<u>2019 Annual Report (Audited)</u> : MD&A Capital Management Note 13--Long-Term Debt Note 14--Shareholders' Equity Note 15--Earnings per Share  <u>Form 100 (unaudited)</u> : MD&A Capital Management (Unaudited) Note 7 -- Preferred Stock Note 9 -- Earnings per Share		<u>2019 Annual Report</u> pg 55-56 pg 102 pg 103-108 pg 108  <u>Form 100</u> pg 26-27 pg 55 pg 56-57
Quantitative: (b)	The amount of tier 1 capital, with separate disclosure of: (1) common stock/surplus; (2) retained earnings; (3) Common Equity minority interest (4) AOCI (net of tax) and other reserves (5) Regulatory adjustments and deductions made to common equity tier 1 capital.	<u>FR Y9-C</u> Schedule HC-R Part I Regulatory Capital Components and Ratios		<u>FR Y9-C</u> Schedule HC-R Part I
(c)	The amount of tier 1 capital, with separate disclosure of: (1) Additional tier 1 capital elements, including additional tier 1 capital instruments and tier 1 minority interest not included in common equity tier 1 capital; and (2) Regulatory adjustments and deductions made to total capital.	<u>FR Y9-C</u> Schedule HC-R Part I Regulatory Capital Components and Ratios  <u>Form 100 (unaudited)</u> : Consolidated Balance Sheet		<u>FR Y9-C</u> Schedule HC-R Part I  <u>Form 100</u> pg 36
(d)	The amount of total capital, with separate disclosure of: (1) Tier 2 capital elements, including tier 2 capital instruments and total capital minority interest not included in tier 1 capital; and (2) Regulatory adjustments and deductions made to total capital.	<u>FR Y9-C</u> Schedule HC-R Part I Regulatory Capital Components and Ratios		<u>FR Y9-C</u> Schedule HC-R Part I
<b>Capital Adequacy</b>				
Qualitative: (a)	A summary discussion of the bank holding company's approach to assessing the adequacy of its capital to support current and future activities.	<u>2019 Annual Report (Audited)</u> : MD&A Capital Management MD&A Non-GAAP Financial Measures Note 14--Shareholders' Equity  <u>Form 100 (unaudited)</u> : MD&A Capital Management (Unaudited) MD&A Non-GAAP Financial Measures  <u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited)</u> : Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process ("ICAAP")	4-5	<u>2019 Annual Report</u> pg 55-56 pg 62-63 pg 103-108  <u>Form 100</u> pg 26-27 pg 32-33
Quantitative: (b)	Risk-weighted assets for credit risk from: Risk-weighted assets for: (1) Exposures to sovereign entities; (2) Exposures to certain supranational entities and MDBs; (3) Exposures to depository institutions, foreign banks, and credit unions; (4) Exposures to PSEs; (5) Corporate exposures; (6) Residential mortgage exposures; (7) Statutory multifamily mortgages and pre-sold construction loans; (8) HVCRE loans; (9) Past due loans; (10) Other assets; (11) Cleared transactions; (12) Default fund contributions; (13) Unsettled transactions; (14) Securitization exposures; and (15) Equity exposures.	<u>FR Y9-C</u> Schedule HC-R Part II, Risk Weighted Assets		<u>FR Y9-C</u> Schedule HC-R Part II.
(c)	Standardized market risk-weighted assets as calculated under subpart F of this part:	<u>FR Y9-C</u> Schedule HC-R Part II, Risk Weighted Assets		<u>FR Y9-C</u> Schedule HC-R Part II.

Table	Disclosure Requirement	Disclosure Location	Disclosure Page	Source Reference - if applicable
(d)	Common Equity tier 1, tier 1 and total risk-based capital ratios: (1) For the top consolidated group; and (2) For each Depository Institution subsidiary.	<u>FR Y9-C - Consolidated Financial Statement for Holding Companies</u> Schedule HC-R Part I Regulatory Capital Components and Ratios U.S. Bancorp <u>FFIEC 031-Consolidated Reports of Conditions and Income for a Bank with Domestic and Foreign Offices</u> Schedule RC-R Part I Regulatory Capital Components and Ratios U.S. Bank National Association (Cincinnati, OH)  <u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 1-- Regulatory Capital Ratios	5	<u>FR Y9-C</u> Schedule HC-R Part I  <u>FFIEC 031</u> Schedule HC-R Part I
(e)	Total Standardized Risk Weighted Assets	<u>FR Y9-C - Consolidated Financial Statement for Holding Companies</u> Schedule HC-R  <u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 4 Risk Weighted Assets	7	<u>FR Y9-C</u> Schedule HC-R .
<b>Capital Conservation and Countercyclical Capital Buffers</b>				
Quantitative: (a)	At least quarterly, the bank holding company must calculate and publicly disclose the capital conservation buffer as described under §.11 of subpart B.	<u>FR Y9-C - Consolidated Financial Statement for Holding Companies</u> Schedule HC-R Part I Regulatory Capital Components and Ratios <u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Capital Conservation Buffer	5	<u>FR Y9-C</u> Schedule HC-R
(b)	At least quarterly, the bank holding company must calculate and publicly disclose the buffer retained income of the bank holding company.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Capital Conservation Buffer	5	
(c)	At least quarterly, the bank holding company must calculate and publicly disclose any limitations it has on distributions and discretionary bonus payments resulting from the capital conservation buffer and the countercyclical capital buffer framework described under §.11 of subpart B, including the maximum payout amount for the quarter.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Capital Conservation Buffer	5	
<b>General Qualitative Disclosure Requirement</b>				
	For each separate risk area described in tables 5 through 10, the bank holding company must describe its risk management objectives and policies, including: (1) Strategies and processes; (2) The structure and organization of the relevant risk management function; (3) The scope and nature of risk reporting and/or measurement systems; (4) Policies for hedging and/or mitigating risk and strategies and processes for monitoring the continuing effectiveness of hedges/mitigants.	<u>2019 Annual Report (Audited):</u> • MD&A--Balance Sheet Analysis • MD&A--Corporate Risk Profile • Note 1--Significant Accounting Policies • Note 4--Investment Securities • Note 5--Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses • Note 7--Accounting For Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities • Note 19--Derivative Instruments • Note 21--Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities • Note 22--Guarantees and Contingent Liabilities  <u>Form 10-O (Unaudited):</u> • MD&A--Balance Sheet Analysis • MD&A--Corporate Risk Profile • Note 1--Basis of Presentation • Note 3--Investment Securities • Note 4--Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses • Note 15--Guarantees and Contingent Liabilities  <u>Credit Risk: General Disclosures</u>	16-25	<u>2019 Annual Report</u> pg 28-36 pg 36-56 pg 77-84 pg 85-87 pg 88-94 pg 96-97  pg 118-122 pg 125-131 pg 131-135  <u>Form 10-O</u> pg 6-8 pg 8-27 pg 41 pg 42-44 pg 45-51 pg 70-72  <a href="http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=117565&amp;p=irol-govboard">http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=117565&amp;p=irol-govboard</a>
<b>Credit Risk - General Disclosures</b>				
Qualitative: (a)	The general qualitative disclosure requirement with respect to credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk disclosed in accordance with Table 7) including: (1) Policy for determining past due or delinquency status; (2) Policy for placing loans on nonaccrual; (3) Policy for returning loans to accrual status; (4) Definition of and policy for identifying impaired loans (for financial accounting purposes). (5) Description of the methodology that the entity uses to estimate its allowance for loan and lease losses, including statistical methods used where applicable; (6) Policy for charging-off uncollectible amounts; and (7) Discussion of the bank's credit risk management policy	<u>2019 Annual Report (Audited):</u> • MD&A--Balance Sheet Analysis • MD&A--Corporate Risk Profile • Note 1--Significant Accounting Policies • Note 4--Investment Securities • Note 5--Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses • Note 22--Guarantees and Contingent Liabilities  <u>Form 10-O (Unaudited):</u> • MD&A--Balance Sheet Analysis • MD&A--Corporate Risk Profile • Note 1--Basis of Presentation • Note 3--Investment Securities • Note 4--Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses • Note 16--Guarantees and Contingent Liabilities  <u>Credit Risk: General Disclosures</u>	11-19	<u>2019 Annual Report</u> pg 228-36 pg 36-56 pg 77-84 pg 85-87 pg 88-94 pg 131-135  <u>Form 10-O</u> pg 6-8 pg 8-27 pg 41 pg 42-44 pg 45-51 pg 72-75
Quantitative: (b)	Total credit risk exposures and average credit risk exposures, after accounting offsets in accordance with GAAP, without taking into account the effects of credit risk mitigation techniques (for example, collateral and netting not permitted under GAAP), over the period categorized by major types of credit exposure. For example, bank holding companies could use categories similar to that used for financial statement purposes. Such categories might include, for instance: (1) Loans, off-balance sheet commitments, and other non-derivative off-balance sheet exposures; (2) Debt securities; and (3) OTC derivatives.	<u>2019 Annual Report (Audited):</u> • Note 5--Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses • Consolidated Daily Average Balance Sheet and Related Yields and Rates  <u>FR Y-9C (Unaudited):</u> • Schedule HC-B - Securities • Schedule HC-L - Derivatives and Off-Balance-Sheet Items  <u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 5--General Disclosure for Counterparty Credit Risk of OTC Derivative Contracts, Repo-Style Transactions, and Eligible Margin Loans Table 11--Credit Risk and Maturity by Exposure Type	9  15-16	<u>2019 Annual Report</u> pg 88-94 pg 144-145  <u>FR Y-9C</u> pg 16-18 pg 28-31
(c)	Geographic distribution of exposures, categorized in significant areas by major types of credit exposure.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 9--Credit Exposure by Geography Table 10--Municipal Securities by Geography	13-14 15	
(d)	Industry or counterparty type distribution of exposures, broken down by major types of credit exposure.	<u>FR Y-9C (Unaudited):</u> • Schedule HC-B - Securities • Schedule HC-L - Derivatives and Off-Balance-Sheet Items  <u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 8--Credit Exposure by Industry	12	<u>FR Y9-C</u> Schedule HC-B Schedule HC-L
	By major industry or counterparty type:  (1) Amount of impaired loans for which there was a related allowance under GAAP; (2) Amount of impaired loans for which there was no related allowance under GAAP;  (3) Amount of loans past due 90 days and on nonaccrual; (4) Amount of loans past due 90 days and still accruing;	<u>10Q (Unaudited):</u> • Analysis and Determination of the Allowance for Credit Losses  <u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 12--Past Due and Nonperforming Loan Portfolio By Industry  <u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 12--Past Due and Nonperforming Loan Portfolio By Industry	16-17  16-17	<u>Form 10-O:</u> pg 18-21

Table	Disclosure Requirement	Disclosure Location	Disclosure Page	Source Reference - if applicable
(c)	(5) The balance in the allowance for loan and lease losses at the end of each period, disaggregated on the basis of the entity's impairment method. To disaggregate the information required on the basis of impairment methodology, an entity shall separately disclose the amounts based on the requirements in GAAP; and  (6) Charge-offs during the period.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 14--Elements of the Allowance for Credit Losses  <u>Form 100 (Unaudited):</u> • Table 8--Summary of Allowance for Credit Losses	19	<u>Form 10-O</u> pg 21
(f)	Amount of impaired loans and, if available, the amount of past due loans categorized by significant geographic areas including, if practical, the amounts of allowances related to each geographical area, further categorized as required by GAAP.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 16--Past Due and Nonperforming Loans by Geography  Allowance by geography is not practical or meaningful to disclose since management does not use this information to allocate general or specific allowance components.	18	
(g)	Reconciliation of changes in the allowances for loan and lease losses.	<u>Form 100 (Unaudited):</u> • Table 8--Summary of Allowance for Credit Losses		<u>Form 10-O</u> pg 21
(h)	Remaining contractual maturity breakdown (for example, one year or less) of the whole portfolio, broken down by major types of credit exposure.	<u>FR Y-9C (Unaudited):</u> • Schedule HC-B - Securities • Schedule HC-L - Derivatives and Off-Balance-Sheet Items <u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 11--Credit Risk and Maturity by Exposure Type	15-16	<u>FR Y-9C</u> pg 16-18 pg 28-31
<b>General Disclosure for Counterparty Credit Risk of OTC Derivative Contracts, Repo-Style Transactions, and Eligible Margin Loans</b>				
Qualitative: (a)	The general qualitative disclosure requirement with respect to OTC derivatives, eligible margin loans, and repo-style transactions, including: (1) Discussion of methodology used to assign credit limits for counterparty credit exposures; (2) Discussion of policies for securing collateral, valuing and managing collateral, and establishing credit reserves; (3) Discussion of the primary types of collateral taken; (4) Discussion of the impact of the amount of collateral the bank holding company would have to provide given a deterioration in the bank's own creditworthiness.	<u>2019 Annual Report (Audited):</u> • MD&A Use of Derivatives to Manage Interest Rate and Other Risks (Unaudited) • Note 1--Significant Accounting Policies (Audited) • Note 19--Derivative Instruments (Audited) • Note 20--Netting Arrangements for Certain Financial Instruments (Audited) • Note 21--Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities (Audited)  <u>Form 10-O (Unaudited):</u> • MD&A Use of Derivatives to Manage Interest Rate and Other Risks • Note 12--Derivative Instruments • Note 13--Netting Arrangements for Certain Financial Instruments • Note 14--Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities  <u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Counterparty Credit Risk of OTC Derivative Contracts, Repo-Style Transactions, and Eligible Margin Loans	8-9	<u>2019 Annual Report</u> pg 50-51 pg 77-84 pg 118-122 pg 123-125 pg 125-131  <u>Form 10O</u> pg 23 pg 58-61 pg 62-64 pg 64-70
Quantitative: (b)	Gross positive fair value of contracts, collateral held (including type, for example, cash, government securities), and net unsecured credit exposure.1 A [BANK] also must disclose the notional value of credit derivative hedges purchased for counterparty credit risk protection and the distribution of current credit exposure by exposure type.2	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 5--General Disclosure for Counterparty Credit Risk of OTC Derivative Contracts, Repo-Style Transactions, and Eligible Margin Loans	9	
(c)	Notional amount of purchased and sold credit derivatives, segregated between use for the bank holding company's own credit portfolio and for its intermediation activities, including the distribution of the credit derivative products used, broken down further by protection bought and sold within each product group.	<u>Form 100 (Unaudited):</u> • Note 12--Derivative Instruments <u>FR Y-9C (Unaudited):</u> • Schedule HC-L - Derivatives and Off-Balance-Sheet Items		<u>Form 10O</u> pg 58-61 <u>FR Y-9C</u> pg 28-31
<b>Credit Risk Mitigation</b>				
Qualitative: (a)	The general qualitative disclosure requirement with respect to credit risk mitigation including: (1) policies and processes for, and an indication of the extent to which the bank holding company uses, on-and-off-balance sheet netting; (2) a description of the main types of collateral taken by the bank holding company; (3) the main types of guarantors/credit derivative counterparties and their creditworthiness; and (4) information about (market or credit) risk concentrations within the mitigation taken.	<u>2019 Annual Report (Audited):</u> • MD&A--Corporate Risk Profile • Note 1--Significant Accounting Policies • Note 4--Investment Securities • Note 5--Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses • Note 19--Derivative Instruments • Note 20--Netting Arrangements for Certain Financial Instruments • Note 21--Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities  <u>Form 100 (Unaudited):</u> • MD&A--Corporate Risk Profile • Note 3--Investment Securities • Note 4--Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses • Note 12--Derivative Instruments • Note 13--Netting Arrangements for Certain Financial Instruments • Note 14--Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities  <u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Credit Risk Mitigation	7	<u>2019 Annual Report</u> pg 36-56 pg 77-84 pg 85-87 pg 88-94 pg 118-122 pg 123-125 pg 125-131  <u>Form 10O</u> pg 8-27 pg 42-44 pg 45-51 pg 58-61 pg 62-64 pg 64-70
Quantitative: (b)	For each separately disclosed credit risk portfolio, the total exposure that is covered by eligible financial collateral, and after the application of haircuts.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Credit Risk Mitigation	7	
(c)	For each separately disclosed portfolio, the total exposure that is covered by guarantees/credit derivatives and the risk-weighted asset amount associated with that exposure.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Credit Risk Mitigation	7	
<b>Securitization</b>				
Qualitative: (a)	The general qualitative disclosure requirement with respect to securitization (including synthetic securitizations), including a discussion of: (1) The bank holding company's objectives for securitizing assets, including the extent to which these activities transfer credit risk of the underlying exposures away from the bank holding company to other entities and including the type of risks assumed and retained with resecuritization activity; (2) The nature of the risks (e.g. liquidity risk) inherent in the securitized assets; (3) The roles played by the bank holding company in the securitization process and an indication of the extent of the bank holding company's involvement in each of them; (4) The processes in place to monitor changes in the credit and market risk of securitization exposures including how those processes differ for resecuritization exposures; (5) The bank holding company's policy for mitigating the credit risk retained through securitization and resecuritization exposures; and (6) The risk-based capital approaches that the bank holding company follows for its securitization exposures including the type of securitization exposure to which each approach applies.	<u>2019 Annual Report (Audited):</u> • MD&A Corporate Risk Profile (Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements)  <u>Form 100 (Unaudited)</u> • MD&A Corporate Risk Profile (Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements)  <u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Securitization	9-10	<u>2019 Annual Report</u> pg 54-55  <u>Form 10O</u> pg 26

Table	Disclosure Requirement	Disclosure Location	Disclosure Page	Source Reference - if applicable
(b)	A list of: (1) The type of securitization SPEs that the bank holding company, as sponsor, uses to securitize third-party exposures. The bank holding company must indicate whether it has exposure to these SPEs, either on- or off- balance sheet; and (2) Affiliated entities: (i) That the bank holding company manages or advises; and (ii) That invest either in the securitization exposures that the bank holding company has securitized or in securitization SPEs that the bank holding company sponsors.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Securitization	9-10	
(c)	Summary of the bank holding company's accounting policies for securitization activities, including: (1) Whether the transactions are treated as sales or financings; (2) Recognition of gain-on-sale; (3) Methods and key assumptions and inputs applied in valuing retained or purchased interests; (4) Changes in methods and key assumptions and inputs from the previous period for valuing retained interests and impact of the changes; (5) Treatment of synthetic securitizations; (6) How exposures intended to be securitized are valued and whether they are recorded under subpart E of this part; and (7) Policies for recognizing liabilities on the balance sheet for arrangements that could require the bank holding company to provide financial support for securitized assets.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Securitization	9-10	
(d)	An explanation of significant changes to any of the quantitative information set forth below since the last reporting period.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Securitization	9-10	
Quantitative: (e)	The total outstanding exposures securitized by the bank holding company in securitizations that meet the operational criteria in §.141 (categorized into traditional/synthetic), by underlying exposure type separately for securitizations of third-party exposures for which the bank acts only as sponsor.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 6-- Securitization	10	
(f)	For exposures securitized by the bank holding company in securitizations that meet the operational criteria in §.141: (1) Amount of securitized assets that are impaired/past due categorized by exposure type; and (2) Losses recognized by the bank holding company during the current period categorized by exposure type.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 6-- Securitization	10	
(g)	The total amount of outstanding exposures intended to be securitized categorized by exposure type.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 6-- Securitization	10	
(h)	Aggregate amount of: (1) On-balance sheet securitization exposures retained or purchased categorized by exposure type; and (2) Off-balance sheet securitization exposures categorized by exposure type.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 6-- Securitization	10	
(i)	(1) Aggregate amount of securitization exposures retained or purchased and the associated capital requirements for these exposures, categorized between securitization and rescureitization exposures, further categorized into a meaningful number of risk weight bands and by risk-based capital approach (e.g. SSFA). (2) Exposures that have been deducted entirely from tier 1 capital, CEIOs deducted from total capital (as described in §142(a)(1), and other exposures deducted from total capital should be disclosed separately by exposure type.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 6-- Securitization	10	
(j)	Summary of current year's securitization activity, including the amount of exposures securitized (by exposure type), and recognized gain or loss on sale by asset type.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 6-- Securitization	10	
(k)	Aggregate amount of rescureitization exposures retained or purchased categorized according to: (1) Exposures to which credit risk mitigation is applied and those not applied; and (2) Exposures to guarantors categorized according to guarantor creditworthiness categories or guarantor name.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 9-- Securitization	10	
<b>Equities Not Subject to Market Risk Rule</b>				
Qualitative: (a)	The general qualitative disclosure requirement with respect to equity risk, including: (1) differentiation between holdings on which capital gains are expected and those taken under other objectives including for relationship and strategic reasons; and (2) discussion of important policies covering the valuation of and accounting for equity holdings in the banking book. This includes the accounting techniques and valuation methodologies used, including key assumptions and practices affecting valuation as well as significant changes in these practices.	<u>2019 Annual Report (Audited):</u> Note 1--Significant Accounting Policies Note 7--Accounting For Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities  <u>Form 10-Q (Unaudited):</u> Note 5--Accounting For Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities  <u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Equity Securities Not Subject to Market Risk Rule	10-11	<u>2019 Annual Report</u> pg 77-84 pg 96-97  <u>Form 10Q</u> pg 52-53
Quantitative: (b)	Value disclosed on the balance sheet of investments, as well as the fair value of those investments; for securities that are publicly traded, a comparison to publicly-quoted share values where the share price is materially different from fair value.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 7--Equity Securities Not Subject to Market Risk Rule	10-11	
(c)	The types and nature of investments, including the amount that is: (1) Publicly traded; and (2) Non-publicly traded.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 7--Equity Securities Not Subject to Market Risk Rule	10-11	
(d)	The cumulative realized gains (losses) arising from sales and liquidations in the reporting period.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 7--Equity Securities Not Subject to Market Risk Rule	10-11	
(e)	(1) Total unrealized gains (losses) (2) Total latent revaluation gains (losses) (3) Any amounts of the above included in tier 1 and/or tier 2 capital.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 7--Equity Securities Not Subject to Market Risk Rule	10-11	
(f)	Capital requirements broken down by appropriate equity groupings, consistent with the bank holding company's methodology, as well as the aggregate amounts and the type of equity investments subject to any supervisory transition regarding regulatory capital requirements.	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 7--Equity Securities Not Subject to Market Risk Rule	10-11	
<b>Interest Rate Risk for Non-trading Activities</b>				
Qualitative: (a)	The general qualitative disclosure requirement, including the nature of interest rate risk for non-trading activities and key assumptions, including assumptions regarding loan prepayments and behavior of non-maturity deposits, and frequency of measurement of interest rate risk for non-trading activities.	<u>2019 Annual Report (Audited):</u> • MD&A--Interest Rate Risk Management, Net Interest Income Simulation Analysis, and Market Value of Equity Modeling  <u>10Q (Unaudited):</u> • MD&A--Interest Rate Risk Management, Net Interest Income Simulation Analysis, and Market Value of Equity Modeling		<u>2019 Annual Report</u> pg 49-50  <u>Form 10Q</u> pg 22



Table	Disclosure Requirement	Disclosure Location	Disclosure Page	Source Reference - if applicable
Quantitative: (b)	The increase (decline) in earnings or economic value (or relevant measure used by management) for upward and downward rate shocks according to management's method for measuring interest rate risk for non-trading activities, broken down by currency (as appropriate).	<u>2019 Annual Report (Audited):</u> • MD&A--Interest Rate Risk Management, Net Interest Income Simulation Analysis, and Market Value of Equity Modeling  <u>100 (Unaudited):</u> • MD&A--Interest Rate Risk Management, Net Interest Income Simulation Analysis, and Market Value of Equity Modeling		<u>2019 Annual Report</u> pg 49-50  <u>Form 100</u> pg 22
<b>Supplementary Leverage Ratio</b>				
Quantitative	(1) Summarize the differences between total consolidated accounting assets and the calculation of total leverage exposure. (2) Provide components of the total leverage exposure	<u>Basel Pillar 3 Disclosures (Unaudited):</u> Table 3-- Supplementary Leverage Ratio	6	