

U.S. Bancorp

2016 Mid-Cycle Stress Test

Dodd-Frank Act Stress Test Disclosure

October 2016



Quantitative Disclosure

U.S. Bancorp (the “Company”) administers its capital adequacy assessment through its Capital Adequacy Process. The Capital Adequacy Process identifies and quantifies the Company’s material risks under both expected and stressed economic conditions such as those projected by the Company for the Mid-Cycle submission of the severely adverse stress test as required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (“Dodd-Frank Act”) Stress Test (“DFAST”). This assessment is made to determine the impact of macroeconomic conditions projected in a severely adverse scenario on the Company’s net income, balance sheet, risk-weighted assets and other components of capital. Described below are the quantitative results for the Company under its own defined stress scenario in accordance with the expectations and principles set forth in the Federal Reserve’s publication, “Supervisory Guidance on Stress Testing for Banking Organizations with More Than \$10 Billion in Total Consolidated Assets.”

**2016 Mid-Cycle
U.S. Bancorp Disclosure**

Dodd-Frank Act Stress Testing Results 2016
Projected stressed capital ratios, risk-weighted assets, losses, revenues, net income before taxes, and loan losses

BHC-defined stress scenario

U.S. Bancorp

Capital ratios, actual 2016:Q2 and projected 2016:Q3-2018:Q3			
Percent			
Regulatory ratio	Actual 2016:Q2	Projected stressed capital ratios ¹	
		Ending	Minimum
Common equity tier 1 capital ratio	9.5%	7.3%	7.3%
Tier 1 capital ratio	11.1%	8.9%	8.9%
Total capital ratio	13.4%	11.0%	11.0%
Tier 1 leverage ratio	9.3%	7.7%	7.7%

¹ The capital ratios are calculated using capital action assumptions provided within the Dodd-Frank Act stress testing rule. See 12 CFR 252.56(b). These projections represent hypothetical estimates that involve an economic outcome that is more adverse than expected. The minimum capital ratio presented is for the period 2016:Q3 to 2018:Q3.

Risk-weighted assets, actual 2016:Q2 and projected 2018:Q3		
Billions of dollars		
Item	Actual 2016:Q2	Projected 2018:Q3
Risk-weighted assets ¹	351.5	344.6

¹ For each quarter, risk-weighted assets are calculated under the Board's standardized capital risk-based approach in 12 CFR part 217, subpart D.

Projected loan losses, by type of loan, 2016:Q3-2018:Q3		
Loan type	Billions of dollars	Portfolio loss rates (percent) ¹
Loan Losses	13.1	4.8%
First-lien mortgages, domestic	1.6	2.6%
Junior liens and HELOCs, domestic	0.5	2.8%
Commercial and industrial ²	3.2	4.6%
Commercial real estate, domestic	2.3	5.8%
Credit cards	3.8	15.6%
Other consumer ³	1.0	3.0%
Other loans ⁴	0.6	2.4%

¹ Average loan balances used to calculate portfolio loss rates exclude loans held for sale and loans held for investment under the fair-value option, and are calculated over nine quarters.

² Commercial and industrial loans include small- and medium-enterprise loans and corporate cards.

³ Other consumer loans include student loans and automobile loans.

⁴ Other loans include international real estate loans.

Note: Estimates may not sum precisely due to rounding.

Projected losses, revenue, and net income before taxes through 2018:Q3		
Item	Billions of dollars	Percent of average assets ¹
Pre-provision net revenue ²	16.5	3.8%
Other revenue ³	0.1	
less		
Provisions	17.3	
Realized losses/gains on securities (AFS/HTM)	0.1	
Trading and counterparty losses ⁴	-	
Other losses/gains ⁵	0.1	
equals		
Net income before taxes	(1.0)	-0.2%
Memo items		
Other comprehensive income ⁶	(1.3)	
Other effects on capital	Actual 2016:Q2	2018:Q3
AOCI included in capital (billions of dollars) ⁷	(0.3)	(1.7)

¹ Average assets is the nine-quarter average of total assets.

² Pre-provision net revenue includes losses from operational-risk events, mortgage repurchase expenses, and other real estate owned (OREO) costs.

³ Other revenue includes one-time income and (expense) items not included in pre-provision net revenue.

⁴ Trading and counterparty losses include mark-to-market and credit valuation adjustments (CVA) losses and losses arising from the counterparty default scenario component applied to derivatives, securities lending, and repurchase agreement activities.

⁵ Other losses/gains includes projected change in fair value of loans held for sale and loans held for investment measured under the fair-value option, and goodwill impairment losses.

⁶ Other comprehensive income (OCI) is only calculated for advanced approaches BHCs, and other BHCs that opt into advanced approaches treatment of AOCI.

⁷ Certain aspects of AOCI are subject to transition arrangements for inclusion in projected regulatory capital. The transition arrangements are 40 percent included in projected regulatory capital for 2015, 60 percent included in projected regulatory capital for 2016, 80 percent included in projected regulatory capital for 2017, and 100 percent included in projected regulatory capital for 2018. See CFR 217.300(b)(3).

Macroeconomic Scenario

The Company projects the impact of adverse macroeconomic scenarios (“stressed economic conditions”) on its net income, balance sheet, risk-weighted assets and capital adequacy. The projections disclosed above are based on macroeconomic factors projected by the Company and are not interpreted as likely conditions in a recession. Rather, the macroeconomic factor projections describe a hypothetical scenario designed to assess the strength of the Company and its resilience to stressed economic conditions. Following is a description of the stressed macroeconomic scenario defined by the Company and used to project the results of the 2016 Mid-Cycle Stress Test. The nine-quarter stress time horizon for the 2016 Mid-Cycle Stress Test is from 3Q2016 through 3Q2018.

Stress Scenario Definition

The stress scenario as defined by the Company, is characterized by a substantial weakening in global economic activity, accompanied by large reductions in asset prices, and is representative of unemployment and GDP trends observed in the three longest post-World War II recessions (1973, 1981 and 2007). In light of the current improving economy, and in order to ensure an appropriately severe scenario, the Company also employed a peak Unemployment Rate floor of 10.5% for the stress scenario. Principal economic factors that drive the scenario are defined as follows:

- Unemployment peaks at 10.5 percent in 1Q2018, a 5.6 percentage point increase from the beginning of the stress scenario.
- Real Gross Domestic Product (“GDP”) declines 5.5 percent by the end of 1Q2018, with declines occurring for six consecutive quarters through 1Q2018 (largest quarterly decline of 6.7% in 1Q2017).
- Equity prices decline approximately 57 percent at the trough in 2Q2017.
- Housing prices decline roughly 27 percent by the end of the scenario time horizon in 3Q2018.
- Commercial real estate (“CRE”) prices decline approximately 34 percent at the trough in 3Q2018.
- Short-term interest rates remain low.
- Long-term 10-year Treasury rates decline to 1.00 percent by 1Q2017 and gradually increase to 1.40 percent by the end of the stress period at 3Q2018.
- The Freddie Mac 30-year Mortgage rate begins the stress period in 3Q2016 at 3.51 percent, reaches 4.47 percent by 1Q2017 and ends the stress period at 3.74 percent.

The Company administers the stressed macroeconomic scenarios through the Company's Economic Scenario Committee ("ESC"), which consists of executive officers and subject matter experts. The executive officers who are part of the ESC include the President and Chief Operating Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, the Chief Risk Officer, the Chief Credit Officer, the Treasurer, the Executive Vice President of Strategy and Corporate Affairs, the Executive Vice President and Director of Stress Testing and the Senior Vice President and Director of Business Line Reporting and Planning. Subject matter experts include the Company's Chief Economist, Chief Operational Risk Officer, and the heads of Capital Planning, Interest Rate Risk Management, Risk Management Framework and Credit Risk Management.

Through the ESC, the Company defines the macroeconomic indicators most relevant to the Company's business activities, including factors not provided in the Federal Reserve's macroeconomic scenarios. In addition to Unemployment, GDP, Housing Prices and CRE Property Prices, other relevant macroeconomic factors projected by the Company may include, but are not limited to, Weekly Initial Unemployment Claims, Consumer Bankruptcy Filings, Personal Consumption Expenditures ("PCE"), the S&P 500 Index ("SPX") and the 1-month LIBOR rate.

These factors reflect drivers of economic activity (Real GDP Growth, PCE and unemployment factors), equity values (SPX), the value of primary collateral pools (Housing Price Index and CRE Price Index), the consumer bankruptcy climate (Consumer Bankruptcy Filings) and interest rates. These variables also are selected for their impact on the performance of the Company's businesses. The ESC continually reviews the need for additional macroeconomic factors to ensure consistency in modeling and provide more targeted measures of economic conditions. This set of macroeconomic indicators provides a balanced view of the economy and serves as a valuable testing and planning tool for the Company.

Risks Included in the Stress Test

The Company maintains a risk management framework that establishes the necessary infrastructure to identify, measure, and assess risks given the Company's organizational structure, business activities and size and complexity of operations. The Company projects the impact of material risks under both expected and stressed conditions to its on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet exposures, earnings and capital positions through its capital adequacy process.

The Company's most prominent risk exposures are credit, interest rate, market, liquidity, operational, compliance, strategic and reputational. The Company projects the impact of these risks to its balance sheet, net income and capital positions and also considers other financial impacts of stressed economic factors on the performance of the Company's businesses.

Credit risk is the risk of not collecting the interest and/or principal balance of a loan, investment or derivative contract when it is due. The Company's stress testing methods estimate and quantify the impact of the stressed economic conditions on its credit losses.

Principal drivers of higher credit losses are increases in unemployment, declines in GDP, declines in the SPX and declines in home and CRE values.

Losses are forecast separately for each major portfolio segment. The major portfolio segments include corporate exposures managed on an individual basis, small business loans and lines of credit, commercial construction loans, commercial mortgages, residential mortgages, home equity loans and lines of credit, consumer credit cards, auto loans, auto leases and other retail exposures.

Interest rate risk is the potential reduction of net interest income or market valuations as a result of changes in interest rates. The Company's net interest income is affected by market rates of interest, which in turn are affected by prevailing economic conditions, by the fiscal and monetary policies of the federal government and by the policies of various regulatory agencies. The stressed macroeconomic scenario includes assumptions about key interest rates. The Company's stress test results incorporate key interest rate assumptions in its estimate of the yield on assets and funding costs, as well as in the composition of its balance sheet, including the fair value of mortgage servicing rights ("MSRs") and their impact on the Company's net income and capital positions.

Market risk arises from fluctuations in interest rates, foreign exchange rates and security prices that may result in changes in the values of financial instruments, such as trading and available-for-sale securities, mortgage loans held for sale, MSRs and derivatives that are accounted for on a fair value basis. The Company considers the impact of these risks in its projections under the stressed economic conditions.

Liquidity risk is the possible inability to fund obligations or new business at a reasonable cost in a timely manner. The Company's liquidity is essential for the operation of its business. Market conditions and other events could negatively affect the Company's access to funds or its borrowing costs. The Company's results reflect the impact of the stressed economic scenario assumptions on its access to debt markets, its interest expense and its ability to accrete capital.

Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people or systems, or from external events, including the risk of loss resulting from breaches in data security. Operational risk can also include failures by third parties with which the Company does business.

Compliance risk is the risk of loss arising from violations of, or nonconformance with, laws, rules, regulations, prescribed practices, internal policies, and procedures or ethical standards, potentially exposing the Company to fines, civil money penalties, payment of damages, and the voiding of contracts. Compliance risk also arises in situations where the laws or rules governing certain Company products or activities of the Company's customers may be ambiguous or untested. The Company's stress testing process estimates and quantifies the effect of stressed economic conditions on its operational losses, which include losses related to non-compliance, and their effect on the Company's net income and capital positions.

Strategic risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from adverse business decisions or improper implementation of those decisions. Reputational risk is the risk to current or anticipated earnings, capital, or franchise or enterprise value arising from negative public opinion. This risk may impair the Company's competitiveness by affecting its ability to establish new relationships or services, or continue servicing existing relationships. The Company considers the impact of these risks in its projections under the stressed economic conditions.

Idiosyncratic Risk Estimation

In addition to the quantification of the impact associated with the Company's most prominent risks and impact on the Company's businesses due to the stressed macroeconomic scenario, the Company also considers idiosyncratic risks specific to its business activities that may result in financial consequences that are more severe than the results that the various macroeconomic drivers alone would project. The Company considers a broad range of risks which is informed by its risk management framework for consideration of idiosyncratic scenarios. These risks include, but are not limited to, risks associated with geographical and portfolio concentrations in its banking book, counterparty risks, or other operational risks such as a cyber-event or exposures to known regulatory or compliance issues. The impact of the risks identified for this Mid-Cycle stress test was a reduction to its Common Equity Tier 1 Capital Ratio of approximately 60 basis points.

Methodologies Used in the Stress Test

Net Income

The outcome projected for net income under the stressed economic conditions includes the impact on the Company's pre-provision net revenue, provision for credit losses, realized gain or loss on the Company's available-for-sale ("AFS") or held-to-maturity investment portfolio and other gains or losses. These include the effects of any goodwill impairment and the benefit to the Company's income tax expense resulting from the ability to utilize losses and the use of tax credits from the Company's tax-advantaged community investments.

Capital Position

In assessing its capital position, the Company incorporates the net income resulting from the quantification of the effects of the stressed economic conditions on its business activities into its common equity roll-forward. The Company also incorporates the capital actions prescribed in the stress test regulation. These are limited to preferred dividends and annual common stock dividends equal to the quarterly average dollar amount of common stock dividends that the Company paid in the prior four quarters and no redemption or repurchase of any capital instrument. The Company calculates its adjusted common equity by applying regulatory adjustments to its common equity. The Company assesses resulting changes in those items that are either included on a limited basis or completely deducted from regulatory capital. The Company also adjusts the level of its goodwill and intangibles deducted from capital to reflect amortization and impairment, if any. Additionally, the Company analyzes the effects of the stressed economic conditions on its net deferred tax asset position, MSRs and significant

and non-significant equity investments to determine the appropriate level of deductions from regulatory capital.

Having determined the capital ratio numerator, the Company calculates, according to the capital regulations, its credit risk-weighted assets for on- and off-balance sheet credit exposures. To this amount, the Company adds the risk-weighted assets related to its market risk. The Company's capital position is determined by the ratio of the capital in the numerator and the risk-weighted assets in the denominator.

The Company considers the potential for impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets under stressed economic conditions using analyses and methodologies similar to those employed in its annual impairment testing, incorporating the impact of the stressed conditions on the interest income, noninterest income and credit losses of each reporting unit.

Pre-Provision Net Revenue: The projections under the stressed economic conditions are produced for:

- the Company's balance sheet and related net interest income;
- the Company's fee revenue, including losses related to the repurchase of mortgage loans from investors due to a breach in representations or warranties, the impact on earnings related to the Company's MSR's and other mortgage production fees, losses related to the Company's trading portfolio and the stressed outcome of other product fee categories, including the Company's payment services, retail services, trust and investment services, other commercial product fees, and other fees; and
- the impact on the Company's expenses, which includes anticipated operational loss events that could be expected in stressed economic conditions and increases in litigation and other possible legal expense related to projected loss events. These expenses are partially offset by conservative discretionary expense reductions.

Balance Sheet and related Net Interest Income and Fee Income:

The Company projects the balance sheet, net interest income and fee income under the stressed economic conditions on the basis of regression modeling when significant statistical relationships with macroeconomic factors have been identified and affect model outcomes in an intuitive manner. When significant statistical relationships with macroeconomic factors are not identified, the Company uses other forecasting tools and analytics, which include management's assessment of outcomes in the stressed economic conditions, and considers, as a basis, the historical relationship of fee and balance sheet performance to macroeconomic factors under specific economic conditions. In both of these approaches, the Company analyzes relationships that occurred in past recessionary and non-recessionary periods to determine the strongest correlation to economic drivers. The Company realizes that relying solely on historical relationships may not predict future outcomes and may, based on management's discretion, apply

more conservative overlays to modeled outcomes.

The Company's models and other approaches rely on several assumptions. A key assumption is that the Company predicts that changes in consumer behavior in stressed economic conditions will be similar to the behavior patterns recognized in previous downturn periods. Another assumption is that balance sheet growth and related revenues observed in the previous economic downturn may not predict growth in future economic downturns, as the flight-to-quality realized in previous recessions may not be repeated. Management assesses the outcome of all financial projections to determine if additional conservative adjustments are required based on uncertainties in the modeling assumptions or other factors not captured by the models or tools. These adjustments, in total, are meant to produce higher levels of financial stress in stressed economic conditions and also address risks that may not be predicted by existing modeling approaches.

Balance Sheet and Net Interest Income:

Balance sheet outcomes are projected for loans, loans held for sale, investment securities, other assets, deposits, wholesale borrowings, equity and other liabilities.

Corporate loans, commercial mortgages, construction loans, commercial leases, retail leases, installment loans, residential first liens, home equity loans and lines, residential mortgage and retail and commercial credit card balance projections are based on regression models. These modeled balances represent the majority of the Company's total loan portfolio.

For the projection of other balance sheet loan categories, the Company relies on tools and analytics that are based on historical analyses. As part of the projection process utilizing tools, management inserts assumptions within the tools to project volumes which consider recent trends, new business activity, portfolio run-off and stressed economic conditions.

Non-interest bearing deposits, interest bearing non-maturity deposits and domestic time deposits balances are also projected based on regression models. These modeled balances represent the majority of the Company's total deposit balances. Net funding levels are projected based on the outcome of the simulation modeling results of all other balance sheet items.

The stressed macroeconomic assumptions result in a general contraction of business activity, which is reflected in the Company's balance sheet in the form of reduced on- and off-balance sheet exposures. The business activity contraction will impact the Company's projection of risk-weighted assets associated with balance sheet exposures; however, the macroeconomic assumptions impact the Company's loan portfolios with differing degrees of severity. This differential will lead to asset mix changes which likely will affect the Company's weighted average risk-weights from period to period, either emphasizing or offsetting the effect of reduced on- and off-balance

sheet exposures.

Net interest income is modeled using an interest rate simulation model. The simulation model employs the balance sheet projections that are based on the stressed economic environment and applies the rate forecasts and other key economic indicators as provided in the stressed macroeconomic scenarios. The model simulates the expected behavior of existing balance sheet volumes based on account characteristics, applies the stressed balance sheet projections and calculates new business volumes. New business volume characteristics are based on the Company's historical run rate and include adjustments modeled for stressed economic environments.

The Company also models the wholesale funding cost assumptions for long-term funding instruments to ensure these assumptions properly reflect both the availability and cost in a stressed environment. Short-term borrowing rates are forecast based on historical experience in a recessionary time period.

Fee Income:

The majority of the Company's fee income is projected using regression modeling in the stress scenario including revenues from Mortgage Banking, Payment Services, Investment Management, Treasury Management (a component of Treasury Services), the majority of Investment Services and Retail and Small Business Lending and Deposits, Investment Banking, Commercial Lending and Sales and Trading. As an example of the macroeconomic factors that drive fee income, the Mortgage Banking model relies primarily on the macroeconomic paths of Weekly Initial Unemployment Claims, the Housing Price Index, the 10-Year Swap and the 30-year Mortgage rate to statistically determine the impact on mortgage fee income. Payment Services consists of the Credit Card, Corporate Payments and Merchant Processing businesses which rely on regression modeling with strong correlations to real GDP Growth, the Consumer Price Index, Personal Consumption Expenditures and Weekly Initial Unemployment Claims, which are used for predicting fee income in the stressed scenarios. For all modeled fee income categories, regression models are developed by utilizing the macroeconomic factors that are based on sound economic intuition and provide results that reflect strong correlations. Model projections are reviewed to ensure results represent the severity of the scenario, and a conservative management overlay may be applied if necessary to further stress results.

The remaining fee income categories use tool-driven analytics relying on management expertise and historical trending from recessionary and non-recessionary periods to project revenues in stressed economic conditions. Tools are used for certain fee categories, such as Insurance Services and other small fee categories, where efforts to model the Company's historical financial performance have not found statistical relationships with macroeconomic variables. These fee revenue categories rely on the same

consistent view of the macroeconomic environment as those businesses using regression modeling. Each business line individually evaluates the macroeconomic scenario factors to determine which factors are significant for their respective fee income categories. Management then projects how these factors impact their key business drivers of fee income, which include, but are not limited to, sales, new business, attrition and overall consumer behavior.

Expenses:

The Company projects the changes to expenses in stressed economic conditions. These are attributable principally to increases in operational losses, increases in foreclosures, litigation, legal and other mortgage-related foreclosure costs.

While expenses related to legal and other real estate owned are statistically modeled, increases in collections expense are primarily derived using the historical relationship of the expense to the level of the Company's credit-related charge-offs. As the overall economy deteriorates in the stress scenario, charge-offs are projected to increase, and collection expenses will increase proportionately, by definition. The impact to mortgage-related foreclosure expenses is projected based on the gross delinquency rates developed using the Delinquency and Foreclosure model, which is driven by the Housing Price Index, the Unemployment Rate and Weekly Initial Unemployment Claims. Variable expenses that can be tied directly to fee revenue, such as variable compensation and technology or other outside data services, are adjusted based on their relationship to the respective fee revenue category.

The Company's operational loss estimates rely on macroeconomic factor models employed to determine statistical relationships with relevant macroeconomic factors, including, among others, real GDP, the unemployment rate and relevant interest rates. Expected loss is obtained by multiplying the modeled expected frequency and the historical average loss severity per event type. Severity estimates incorporate both internal and external loss estimates. For event types where no significant macroeconomic relationships are found to exist, the Company's operational loss projections are based on internal historical loss experience along with scenario analysis leveraging the Company's operational risk scenario workshops conducted by subject matter experts across the organization. In addition, overlays are applied for those risks deemed material that are not adequately captured in the historical operational loss data set.

Finally, the Company uses a conservative approach when considering the timing of and reduction in discretionary expenses related to personnel and other business related costs. The Company considers only a select few expense categories where the ability to make adjustments to spending are clear and supportable. Expense reductions reflected in the stress scenarios

are based on the actual cost savings experienced by the Company during the most recent recession and management expectations for discretionary cost containment.

Provision for Credit Losses: The Company projects net credit losses and provision expenses under the stressed economic conditions based on several key inputs. These include beginning period balances and portfolio composition, macroeconomic assumptions of the scenario, forecasts of portfolio balances and forecasts of defaults and losses. The Company's loss forecasting models are account-level models that forecast quarterly defaults and net charge-offs. Model risk drivers vary by portfolio and include borrower characteristics and macroeconomic factors. The Company evaluates loss forecasts produced by its primary models by considering results of benchmark models, past portfolio performance, current portfolio composition and expectations of future performance given the scenario's economic assumptions. The provision expense is based on the loss forecasts, portfolio growth and asset quality over the forecast horizon.

The Company has a diverse mix of loans and leases. Losses are forecast separately by portfolio and incorporate state or regional effects. The major portfolio segments are corporate exposures managed on an individual basis, small business loans and lines of credit, commercial construction loans, commercial mortgages, residential mortgages, home equity loans and lines of credit, consumer credit cards, auto loans, auto leases and other retail exposures.

The Company's models rely on several assumptions. A primary model assumption is that past experience is indicative of future performance. This assumption is based on the premise that borrower behaviors observed historically within a risk segment in relation to macroeconomic trends will occur in the future. This assumption is tested as borrower behaviors change over time. In addition, changes in underwriting, law or regulation may alter repayment patterns or the accounting classification of losses. Some of these factors are known at the beginning of the forecast horizon while others are not. When identified, the Company mitigates these risks by making adjustments to the modeled loss forecasts. These adjustments are designed to mitigate risks associated with the assumption that prior experience can be used to model future behavior.

Realized Gain or Loss on the Company's Available-for-Sale or Held-to-Maturity Investment Portfolio and Calculation of OTTI: The Company projects the fair market values of its non-agency mortgage backed securities ("MBS"), corporate securities and municipal securities under stressed economic conditions. For non-agency MBS securities, changes in fair value are driven primarily by changes in unemployment. For corporate securities, the Company uses regression modeling that is correlated to changes in Treasury rates, housing prices and SPX to derive the modeled fair value during the forecast horizon and on an internal credit assessment of the security issuer's financial condition. Based on the results of this assessment, the Company may project other than temporary impairment ("OTTI") at the lowest fair market value modeled during the forecast horizon (less amortized cost). Municipal

securities are reviewed based upon credit quality. The Company uses regression modeling that is correlated to changes in the scenario forecast assumptions for Treasury rates, real GDP and SPX and a forward ratings transition assessment during the forecast horizon. The Company recognizes OTTI for any municipal security that is projected to transition to a below investment grade internal rating (derived from the application of the rating transition analysis) as the difference between its modeled fair market value and its amortized cost.

Income Taxes: The Company's process for estimating the impact of income taxes on earnings and capital involves estimating the periodic effective tax rate to apply to earnings, estimation of the deferred tax position at each period-end, based on estimates for the most significant temporary differences, and measuring any deferred tax limitations under the relevant capital framework.

The effective tax rate differs from the marginal tax rate principally as a result of tax credits generated by the Company's tax-advantaged community investments and, to a lesser extent, income from the Company's tax-exempt investments. The Company includes estimates of state income taxes in its effective tax rate based on historical income allocation across the states.

The Company evaluates the likelihood of any deferred tax asset being realized considering factors that include whether there is sufficient taxable income in prior periods to support recovery through carryback and the ability of the Company to realize tax benefits in future periods.

Changes in Capital Positions (Company-defined stress)

The Company estimates that the effect of the stressed economic conditions, including the Dodd-Frank Act capital actions, on the Company's Basel III capital levels reduces the Company's Common Equity Tier 1 Capital ("CET1") ratio by approximately 220 basis points over the nine-quarter stress period from June 30, 2016 to September 30, 2018 under the Basel III standardized transition rules.

The decrease in the CET1 ratio is due to a reduction in the Company's regulatory adjusted common equity ("ACE") and Basel III deductions, partially offset by a slight decrease in risk-weighted assets. The change in net deductions is primarily driven by an increase in net operating loss carry-forwards and an increase in the amount of deductions required per the transition rules.

The principal cause for the decrease in the Company's ACE is dividends on common shares. The capital distributions to common shareholders prescribed by DFAST in the final eight quarters of the stress period are limited to quarterly dividends on common stock in an amount consistent with the quarterly average dollar amount of common stock dividends that the Company paid over the prior four quarters from 4Q2015 through 3Q2016. Other material reductions in ACE are driven by common share repurchases estimated to have been completed in the initial quarter (3Q2016) of the stress period with a negative impact on the CET1 ratio. Repurchases of common stock are suspended over the remainder of the nine-quarter stress period. Additional

reductions in ACE over this period are the result of dividend payments on preferred stock and net losses.

The Company's additional Tier 1 Capital is reduced by net operating loss carry-forwards that are included in Tier 1 Capital and a reduction of capital security value during the transition period. Tier 2 Capital is reduced by the redemption of subordinated debt during the first quarter of the nine-quarter stress.

Changes in Regulatory Capital Ratios

The capital actions in the scenario are prescribed by the Federal Reserve in their regulations for company-run stress tests for covered companies. In the first quarter of the stress horizon, the capital actions are equal to the Company's actual planned actions. The capital actions in the final eight quarters are limited to dividends equal to the quarterly average dollar amount of common stock dividends that the Company paid in the previous four quarters, payments on any other instrument that is eligible for inclusion in the numerator of a regulatory capital ratio equal to the stated dividend, interest or principal due on such instrument during the quarter and an assumption of no redemption or repurchase of any capital instrument that is eligible for inclusion in the Company's regulatory capital.

The Company's capital ratios presented below are calculated under the Basel III standardized transition rules.

Capital Ratios under the BHC Stress Scenario			
Capital Ratio	2Q2016	3Q2018	Change
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital Ratio	9.5	7.3	-2.2
Tier 1 Capital Ratio	11.1	8.9	-2.2
Total Risk-based Capital Ratio	13.4	11.0	-2.4
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio	9.3	7.7	-1.6

Common Equity Tier 1 Capital Ratio – Declined by 2.2 percent from 9.5 percent at 2Q2016 to 7.3 percent at 3Q2018

The Company's CET1 ratio declined by 220 basis points over the stress test period. Of this change, 142 basis points are attributed to dividend payments on common and preferred stock, common stock repurchases and other equity issuance. All other changes to CET1, including the impact from changes in accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI"), goodwill, intangibles, income and other regulatory capital deductions, combined to decrease the CET1 ratio by an additional 91 basis points. The Company's balance sheet decreased modestly over the stress horizon, decreasing the risk-weighted assets. The change in risk-weighted assets resulted in a 13 basis points increase in the Company's capital ratios.

Tier 1 Capital Ratio – Declined by 2.2 percent from 11.1 percent at 2Q2016 to 8.9 percent at 3Q2018

The Company's Tier 1 Capital ratio declined by 220 basis points over the stress horizon, of which 220 basis points is attributed to changes in the level of the Company's CET1 and regulatory deductions related to capital security limitations and other regulatory deductions.

Total Risk-based Capital Ratio – Declined 2.4 percent from 13.4 percent at 2Q2016 to 11.0 percent at 3Q2018

The Company's Tier 2 Capital ratio declined by 240 basis points over the stress test period, of which 220 basis points is due to the change in Tier 1 Capital as described above. The remaining 20 basis points decline is due primarily to the redemption of subordinated debt during the first quarter of the stress horizon.

Tier 1 Leverage Ratio – Declined 1.6 percent from 9.3 percent at 2Q2016 to 7.7 percent at 3Q2018

The reduction in the Tier 1 Leverage ratio is principally the result of the impact of changes in Tier 1 Capital described above. The reduction in Tier 1 Capital accounts for 220 basis points of the decline. This decline is then partially offset by a modest decrease in average assets.